



Monday, September 8, 2025 10:00 a.m.

Notice of Water Resources Committee Regular Meeting and Joint Water Resources Committee Regular Meeting-Special Board Workshop

**SLDMWA Boardroom
842 6th Street, Los Banos
(List of Member/Alternate Telephonic Locations Attached)**

Public Participation Information

Join Zoom Webinar

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82612896540?pwd=4THzgSpiFNCgkq70P5iiaFjdqUQs13.1>

Webinar ID: 826 1289 6540

Passcode: 652618

Phone one-tap:

+16694449171,,82612896540#,,,,*652618# US

+16699006833,,82612896540#,,,,*652618# US (San Jose)

Join via audio:

+1 669 444 9171 US

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

+1 253 205 0468 US

+1 305 224 1968 US

International numbers available: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82612896540?pwd=4THzgSpiFNCgkq70P5iiaFjdqUQs13.1>

NOTE: Any member of the public may address the Water Resources Committee/Board concerning any item on the agenda before or during consideration of that item.

Because the notice provides for a regular meeting of the Water Resources Committee ("WRC") and a joint regular WRC Meeting/Special Board workshop, Board Directors/Alternates may discuss items listed on the agenda; however, only WRC Members/Alternates may correct or add to the agenda or vote on action items.

NOTE FURTHER: Meeting materials have been made available to the public on the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority's website, <https://www.sldmwa.org>, and at the Los Banos Administrative Office, 842 6th Street, Los Banos, CA 93635.

Agenda

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
2. Water Resources Committee to Consider Additions and Corrections to the Agenda for the Water Resources Committee Meeting only, as Authorized by Government Code Section 54950 *et seq.*
3. Opportunity for Public Comment – Any member of the public may address the Water Resources Committee/Board concerning any matter not on the agenda, but within the Committee or Board's jurisdiction. Public comment is limited to no more than three minutes per person. For good cause, the Chair of the Water Resources Committee may waive this limitation.

ACTION ITEMS

4. **Approval of July 7, 2025 Meeting Minutes**
5. **Recommendation to Board of Directors to Adopt Staff Recommendation for Positions on Legislation**, Petersen
 - A. H.R. 4879 (Costa), Emergency Rural Water Response Act of 2025
 - B. S.B. 707 (Durazo), Open meetings: meeting and teleconference requirements.

REPORT ITEMS

6. Executive Director's Report, Barajas
(May include reports on activities within the Water Resources Committee's jurisdiction related to 1) CVP/SWP water operations; 2) California storage projects; 3) regulation of the CVP/SWP; 4) existing or possible new State and Federal policies; 5) Water Authority activities)
7. Update on Water Policy/Resources Activities, Petersen
(May include reports on federal, state, and local agency regulatory, legislative, and administrative water policy/resources activities)
8. Update on Water Operations and Forecasts, Arroyave
9. Committee Member Reports
10. Closed Session

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Initiation of Litigation Pursuant to paragraph (4) of Subdivision (d) of Government Code Section 54956.9 – 2 potential cases

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant Exposure to Litigation Pursuant to Paragraph (2) or (3) of Subdivision (d) of Government Code Section 54956.9 – 2 potential cases

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION

Existing Litigation Pursuant to paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9

- A. Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, et al. v. Stock, et al., U.S. District Court, E.D. Cal., Case No. 2:11-cv-02980; 9th Cir. Case No. 23-15599 (GBP Citizen Suit)
 - B. City of Fresno, et al. v. United States, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Cir., Case No. 22-1994; U.S. Court of Federal Claims, Case No. 1:16-cv-01276 (2014 Friant Div. Operations)
 - C. Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, et al. v. Lutnick, et al., U.S. District Court, E.D. Cal., Case No. 1:20-cv-00431 (2019 BiOps)
 - D. California Natural Resources Agency, et al. v. Lutnick, et al., U.S. District Court, E.D. Cal., Case No. 1:20-cv-00426 (2019 BiOps)
 - E. California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al., Sac. Co. Superior Court, Case No. 34-2021-80003761 (2021 TUCP Order)
 - F. California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al., Sac. Co. Superior Court, Case No. 34-2021-80003763 (2021 Temp. Mgmt. Plan)
 - G. Walsh v. Martin, et al., E.D. Cal., Case No. 1:23-CV-01774 (employment action)
 - H. State Water Resources Control Board, Administrative Hearings Office, Petitions for Change of DWR Water Right Permits, Delta Conveyance Project (DWR Change Petition)
 - I. Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources, et al., Sacramento Co. Superior Court, Case No. 24WM000183 (SWP 2024 EIR Challenge)
11. Return to Open Session
 12. Report from Closed Session, if any, Required by Government Code Section 54957.1
 13. Reports Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2(a)(3)
 14. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with a disability may request disability-related modification or accommodation by contacting Cheri Worthy or Sandi Ginda at the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority Office, 842 6th Street, P.O. Box 2157, Los Banos, California, via telephone at (209) 826-9696, or via email at cheri.worthy@sldmwa.org. Requests should be made as far in advance as possible before the meeting date, preferably 3 days in advance of regular meetings or 1 day in advance of special meetings/workshops.

This agenda has been prepared as required by the applicable laws of the State of California, including but not limited to, Government Code Section 54950 et seq. and has not been prepared with a view to informing an investment decision in any of the Authority's bonds, notes or other obligations. Any projections, plans or other forward-looking statements included in the information in this agenda are subject to a variety of uncertainties that could cause any actual plans or results to differ materially from any such statement. The information herein is not intended to be used by investors or potential investors in considering the purchase or sale of the Authority's bonds, notes or other obligations and investors and potential investors should rely only on information filed by the Authority on the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board's Electronic Municipal Market Access System for municipal securities disclosures, maintained on the World Wide Web at <https://emma.msrb.org/>.

SLDMWA WATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE REGULAR MEETING
TELEPHONIC LOCATIONS
September 9, 2025

15671 W. Oakland Ave
Five Points, CA 93624

**SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY
WATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE REGULAR MEETING AND JOINT
WATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE REGULAR MEETING - SPECIAL
BOARD WORKSHOP MINUTES**

July 7, 2025

The San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority Water Resources Committee Regular Meeting and Joint Water Resources Committee Regular Meeting and Special Board Workshop convened at approximately 10:00 a.m. at 842 6th Street in Los Banos, California, with Committee Chair William Bourdeau presiding.

Water Resources Committee Members Present

Ex-Officio

Cannon Michael
William Bourdeau

Division 1

Anthea Hansen, Member (via ZOOM)

Division 2

Bill Diedrich, Member

Division 3

Absent

Division 4

Absent

Division 5

Manny Amorelli, Alternate

Board of Directors Present

Division 1

Anthea Hansen, Director (via ZOOM)

Division 2

Justin Diener, Director
William Bourdeau, Vice-Chair/Director
Bill Diedrich, Director

Division 3

Jarrett Martin, Director
Cannon Michael, Director

Division 4

Brett Miller, Alternate

Division 5

Manny Amorelli, Director

Authority Representatives Present

Federico Barajas, Executive Director
Pablo Arroyave, Chief Operating Officer
Rebecca Akroyd, General Counsel
Rebecca Harms, Deputy General Counsel
Scott Petersen, Water Policy Director (via ZOOM)
Ray Tarka, Director of Finance
Cindy Meyer, Special Programs Manager
Eddie Reyes, Information Systems Technician
Stewart Davis, IT Officer

Others Present

Patrick McGowan, Panoche Water District
Ron Milligan, Water Authority consultant (via ZOOM)

1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order by Chair William Bourdeau and roll was called. In accordance with the Brown Act, Committee Member Anthea Hansen was identified as participating remotely for just cause.
2. **Additions or Corrections to the Agenda of Items, as authorized by Government Code Section 54950 et seq.** - No additions or corrections.
3. **Opportunity for Public Comment** - No public comment.
4. **Water Resources Committee to Consider Approval of the May 5, 2025 Meeting Minutes** - Chair William Bourdeau deemed the May 5, 2025 meeting minutes deemed approved as submitted.
5. **Recommendation to the Board of Directors to Adopt Staff Recommendation on Positions on Legislation**
 - a. H.R. 3845 (Gray), Valley Water Protection Act (Support)
 - b. H.R. 1897 (Westerman), ESA Amendments Act of 2025 (Watch)
 - c. S.B. 707 (Durazo), Open Meetings: Meeting and Teleconference Requirements. (Oppose unless amended.)

Water Policy Director Scott Petersen reviewed the staff recommendations for positions on legislation, including the ratification of positions on H.R. 3845 and S.B. 707. Petersen answered questions from Committee members throughout the presentation.

Following an unplanned and unwelcome Zoom interruption during Petersen's presentation, staff stopped the Zoom transmission of the meeting; the in-person gathering also took a recess

during this time. After 5-10 minutes, the Zoom transmission and meeting resumed.

On a motion of Ex Officio Member Cannon Michael, seconded by Alternate Manny Amorelli, the Committee adopted the staff recommendations for positions on H.R.3845 (Gray), H.R. 1897 (Westerman), and S.B. 707 (Duranzo). The vote on the motion was as follows:

AYES:	Michael, Bourdeau, Hansen, Diedrich, Amorelli
NAYS:	None
ABSTENTIONS:	None

6. Update on Science Program.

Special Programs Manager Cindy Meyer reviewed a PowerPoint presentation that provided an update on the Science Program's priority activities. Meyer and other Water Authority staff answered Committee and Board member questions throughout the presentation.

7. Executive Director's Report.

- a. **Water Rates Adjustment** – Executive Director Federico Barajas reported that an adjustment to Water Year 2025 Water Rates will be an action item at the Finance & Administration Committee meeting this afternoon.
- b. **DMC Subsidence Project Workshop** – Executive Director Federico Barajas reported that a Joint Workshop is scheduled following the Board meeting Thursday, July 10 at Hotel Mission De Oro.
- c. **Senator Adam Schiff Visit** – Executive Director Federico Barajas reported that Senator Schiff toured the B.F. Sisk Dam last week.
- d. **SOD Drought Plan** – Chief Operating Office Pablo Arroyave provided a brief update. Arroyave reported that Westlands Water District staff has shared a draft concept of how drought plan water could be accessed in early parts of the water year.
- e. **Transfer Programs** - Chief Operating Officer Pablo Arroyave provided a brief update on 1) North to South transfers – an EA for 2026-2027 transfers has been finalized, but no decisions made, and Reclamation is developing an EIS for transfers in 2028 and beyond; (2) Exchange Contractors – participating members are gaining access to 59,000 AF this year linked to the 55% allocation this year; 3) Yuba Transfers – uncertain if our portion of the water will be conveyed due to capacity limitations at Banks Pumping Plant.

8. Update on Water Policy/Resources Activities.

Water Policy Director Scott Petersen provided an update regarding State Water Resources

Control Board activity, water rights reporting, Healthy Rivers and Landscapes Program activity, Reclamation updates, changes to the Reclamation Manual, the Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley, and the San Joaquin Valley Collaborative Action Program. Petersen answered questions throughout the presentation.

9. Update on Water Operations and Forecasts.

Chief Operating Officer Pablo Arroyave provided a brief update on Jones Pumping Plant (JPP) operations interruption over the weekend due to debris at the Tracy Fish Facility. Arroyave reported that the Authority provided staff members to help, which allowed JPP to return to five units quickly. Arroyave reported that the June 90% forecast overview was included in the packet. Arroyave introduced consultant Ron Milligan, who provided information regarding CVP supply, reservoir storage, allocations, snowpack, and operations. Arroyave reported that JPP operations may return to five units on July 19, 2025. Milligan and Arroyave answered Committee member questions throughout the presentation.

10. Committee Member Reports – Member/Chair William Bourdeau reported that water user John Harris passed away, and asked for a moment of silence.

11. Closed Session - Chair William Bourdeau adjourned the open session to address the items listed on the Closed Session Agenda at approximately 11:26 a.m. Upon return to open session at approximately 11:32 a.m., Chair William Bourdeau reported that no reportable actions were taken in closed session.

12. Reports Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 – General Counsel Rebecca Akroyd clarified that the minutes were approved without any correction.

13. Adjournment - The meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:33 a.m.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Water Resources Committee and Alternates, Board of Directors and Alternates

FROM: Scott Petersen, Water Policy Director

DATE: September 8, 2025

RE: Water Resources Committee to Consider Recommendations on Legislation /
Board of Directors to Consider Same

Recommendation

Recommend to the Board of Directors to adopt the following positions on legislation:

Support

Federal Legislation

- Adopt a position of "Support" on H.R. 4879 (Costa), Emergency Rural Water Response Act of 2025

Watch

State Legislation

- Change position of "Oppose Unless Amended" to "Watch" on S.B. 707 (Durazo), Open meetings: meeting and teleconference requirements.

Federal Legislation

H.R. 4879 (Costa), Emergency Rural Water Response Act of 2025

RECOMMENDATION: Support

OBJECTIVE: Improve Water Infrastructure Affecting Authority Member Agencies

Summary

This legislation (1) increases the eligibility of the "Emergency and Imminent Community Water Assistance Grant Program", enacted through the Farm Bill, by increasing the eligible community size from 10,000 to 35,000, and (2) exempts portable water treatment and filtration facilities installed as a result of a disaster declaration by a State from NPDES permit requirements for a 6-month period.



Status

H.R. 4879 was introduced on August 5, 2025, and has been referred to the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the House Committee on Agriculture.

Importance to the Authority

The legislation would modify the eligibility provisions for the “Emergency and Imminent Community Water Assistance Grant Program”, which provides funding through USDA’s Rural Development office to assist eligible communities prepare, or recover from, an emergency that threatens the availability of safe, reliable drinking water.

Emergencies that qualify under the program include: (1) drought or flood, (2) earthquake, (3) tornado or hurricane, (4) disease outbreak, (5) chemical spill, leak, or seepage, and a federal disaster declaration is not required, however, applicants must show that a major decline in quantity or quality of groundwater occurred within two years of the date of the application.

Eligible uses of funds include:

- Water transmission line grants up to \$150,000 to construct waterline extensions, repair breaks or leaks in existing water distribution lines, and address related maintenance necessary to replenish the water supply
- Water source grants up to \$1,000,000 for the construction of new wells, reservoirs, transmission lines, treatment plants, and/or other sources of water (water source up to and including the treatment plant)

The Water Authority’s 2023 Strategic Plan included Objective 1.5: The Water Authority is engaged in collaborative efforts to assist disadvantaged communities within its service area to have adequate and safe drinking water, and safe drinking water is also a component of the Westside-San Joaquin Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP), of which the Water Authority Board of Directors is the Regional Water Management Group responsible for implementing the IRWMP.

This legislation would remove an existing constraint for a number of communities within the Westside-San Joaquin IRWM Region to access the grant program, due to the small size of rural communities in the San Joaquin Valley as compared to the rest of the United States.

State Legislation

[S.B. 707 \(Durazo\), Open meetings: meeting and teleconference requirements](#)

RECOMMENDATION: Watch

OBJECTIVE: Core Objective

Summary

Existing Law

The Ralph M. Brown Act (Brown Act) requires, with specified exceptions, each legislative body, as defined, of a local agency to provide notice of the time and place for its regular meetings and to post an agenda that meets specified requirements, including a brief general description of and an opportunity for



members of the public to comment on each agenda item. The Brown Act requires all meetings of a legislative body to be open and public and that all people be permitted to attend and participate, unless a closed session is authorized.

The Brown Act authorizes each legislative body to use teleconferencing, as defined, for the benefit of the public and in connection with any meeting or proceeding authorized by law, provided that the teleconference meeting:

1. Falls within the legislative body's subject matter jurisdiction and that all votes are taken by rollcall;
2. Posts agendas at all teleconference locations and is conducted in a manner that protects the statutory and constitutional rights of the parties or in the public appearing before the legislative body;
3. Identifies each teleconference location, which must be accessible to the public, in the meeting notice and agenda;
4. Has at least a quorum of the members of the legislative body participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction, except as otherwise specified; and
5. Agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the legislative body.

Existing law authorizes local agencies, until January 1, 2026, to use teleconferencing without complying with the Brown Act's teleconferencing quorum, notice, and agenda requirements (1) during a proclaimed state of emergency, as specified, and (2) for "just cause" and "emergency circumstances," as specified.

Bill Summary

SB 707 seeks to modernize the Brown Act. This analysis only discusses sections with amendments that directly impact SLDMWA's members and their teleconference meetings and omits all other sections.

Sections 1 and 2

This bill would extend indefinitely exceptions to serial communications by a majority of a local agency's legislative body's members through social media by deleting the 2026 sunset date.

Section 3

This bill would require a local agency to provide a copy of the Brown Act to members elected or appointed to a legislative body.

Section 4

Reporting Out

This bill would make technical amendments and add a department head or similar administrative officer to the requirement that a legislative body orally report a summary of recommendations for a final action on the salaries, salary schedules, or compensation paid as fringe benefits.

Members with Disabilities

This bill would require a legislative body to permit a member with a disability to participate in any meeting remotely. Members that participate remotely would be required to (1) participate through audio and visual technology, unless a physical condition related to their disability results in a need to participate off-camera in which case they may participate only through audio technology, and (2) disclose, before any



action is taken, if any other individual(s) over the age of 18 are present. Members participating remotely would count towards quorum.

This bill would utilize existing definitions of the term “disability” under Section 12102 of Title 42 of the United States Code and its implementing regulation or under Section 11135 of the Government Code and its implementing regulation.

The term “teleconference” would not include the attendance of members solely watching or listening via webcasting or any other electronic medium that does not permit members to interactively speak, discuss, or deliberate on matters.

Section 5

This bill would make findings and declarations regarding public access and encourage local agencies to exceed requirements.

Two-Way Telephonic Service or Audiovisual Platform

This bill would, until January 1, 2030, require eligible legislative bodies’ meetings to allow members of the public to attend via either or both a two-way telephonic service or audiovisual platform, unless adequate service is not operational.

By July 1, 2026, an eligible legislative body would need to approve at a noticed public meeting in open session, and not on the consent calendar, a policy regarding disruption of telephonic or internet service occurring during meetings. If such a disruption occurs, a legislative body would need to (1) pause the open session for at least an hour or until service is restored, whichever is earlier, and (2) make a good faith attempt to restore service. During a disruption of service, the legislative body would be permitted to meet in closed session. Once service is restored and open session resumes, the legislative body would need to adopt a finding by rollcall vote that a good faith effort was made and that the public interest in continuing the meeting outweighs the public interest in remote public access.

This provision would define the term “eligible legislative body” as any of the following:

- A city council of a city with a population of 30,000 or more;
- A county board of supervisors of a county, or city and county, with a population of 30,000 or more;
- A city council of a city located in a county with a population of 600,000 or more; or
- The board of directors of a special district that has an internet website and meets any of the following conditions: The boundaries of the special district encompass an entire county with a population of 600,000 or more, and the special district has over 200 full-time employees;
- The special district has over 1,000 full-time employees; or
- The special district has an annual revenue, based on data published by the California State Controller, that exceeds \$400,000,000, adjusted annually for inflation, and the special district employs over 200 full-time employees.

Legislative bodies that provide a two-way audiovisual platform would be required to publicly post and provide a call-in option and activate caption functions, if available. In addition, legislative bodies would be required to provide an opportunity to provide remote public comments. Lastly, this bill would require remote public comments to be allotted the same amount of time as in-person public comments.



Interpretation Services

This bill would require legislative bodies to reasonably assist members of the public who wish to translate public meetings into any language or to receive interpretation provided by another member of the public, and to publicize instructions on how to request such assistance. Legislative bodies would not be required to provide equipment for interpretation services and would not be responsible for the content or accuracy of any interpretations facilitated, assisted with, or provided.

Translation Services

This bill would require legislative bodies to translate agendas into all applicable languages and to post the translations in accordance with specified requirements, including instructions in the applicable language describing how to join meetings by telephonic or internet-based service options and how to register for public comment. In addition, this bill would require the legislative body to make available a physical location that is freely accessible to the public and in reasonable proximity to where the agenda and translation are posted. Legislative bodies would not be responsible for the content or accuracy of any such translation and actions would be prohibited from being taken against a legislative body for any such translation. This provision would not supersede any other applicable civil rights, nondiscrimination, or public access laws.

This provision would define “applicable languages” as languages, according to data from the most recent American Community Survey, spoken jointly by 20 percent or more of the applicable population, provided that 20 percent or more of the population that speaks that language in that city or county speaks English less than “very well.” Under this provision, the applicable population for a city and county is its respective population. For a special district, it is either (1) the greatest population of the county within the boundaries of its jurisdiction or (2) the population of the service area of the special district if it has the data to determine the languages spoken by the population.

Encouraging Public Participation

This bill would require legislative bodies to take specified actions to encourage residents, including those in underrepresented and non-English speaking communities, to participate in public meetings.

Senate Local Government Committee

This bill would require the Senate Local Government Committee, by January 1, 2029, to hold an informational hearing assessing the implementation of this section.

Section 7

This bill would clarify the ability of an elected legislative body to impose meeting requirements on appointed legislative bodies.

Section 8

This bill would require legislative bodies that hold teleconference meetings to comply with a specified, standardized set of requirements. These requirements would include:

1. Providing either or both a two-way audiovisual platform or two-way telephonic service and a live webcasting of the meeting by which the public may remotely hear and visually observe the meeting and remotely address the legislative body;



2. Giving notice of how members of the public can access the meeting and offer public comment. This bill would require the agenda to identify and include an opportunity for all people to attend via a call-in option or an internet-based service option;
3. In the event of a disruption that prevents the meeting from being broadcast to or prevents member of the public using the two-way telephonic service or audiovisual platform from offering public comments remotely, ceasing any further action on agenda items until the meeting has been restored;
4. Not requiring public comments to be submitted in advance of the meeting and provide an opportunity for the public to address the legislative body and offer public comments in real time;
5. Individuals providing public comment through an internet website may be required to register as required by the third-party internet website or online platform to participate;
6. Keeping timed public comment periods open until the time has elapsed
7. Listing in the meeting minutes any member of the legislative body who participates in a teleconference meeting from a remote location and the specific provision of law that the member relied upon to permit their remote participation;
8. Having, implementing, and giving notice of their procedure for receiving and swiftly resolving requests for reasonable accommodation for individuals with disabilities, and
9. Conducting meetings subject to this chapter consistent with applicable civil rights and nondiscrimination laws.

Under this bill, legislative bodies that provide a two-way audiovisual platform would be permitted to disable the use of video for public participants.

Section 10

This bill would expand the teleconferencing flexibility authorized during state-declared emergencies to include local emergencies.

Section 11

This bill would extend the sunset date for provisions that allow members of legislative bodies to participate in meetings remotely based on “just cause,” as defined.

Section 14

This bill would, until January 1, 2030, allow an eligible subsidiary body, as defined, to teleconference a meeting if it complies with specified requirements. The legislative body that created the subsidiary body would need to make specified findings by a majority vote before the subsidiary body uses teleconference for the first time and every six months after.

Under this bill, an eligible subsidiary body could include members who (1) are elected officials; (2) are not elected officials; or (3) any combination thereof.

Section 15

This bill would, until January 1, 2030, allow an eligible multijurisdictional body, as defined, to teleconference a meeting if it complies with specified requirements.

Section 17

This bill would add clarifying criteria regarding affording members of the public the opportunity to address the committee on an item, before or during the committee’s consideration of it. An agenda would not



need provide public comment on an item unless specified circumstances are met including that (1) the item has been substantially changed since the committee heard it, as determined by the legislative body, (2) a quorum of the committee members, when considering the item, did not participate from a single physical location clearly identified in the agenda, open to the public, and situated within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction; and (3) the committee has primary subject matter jurisdiction, as defined. If the local agency has adopted a law which prohibits the committee from placing a limit on the total amount of time for public comment on the item, this criteria would not apply. In addition, this bill would require every notice for a special meeting to provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the legislative body concerning items described in the notice before or during consideration thereof.

Section 23

This bill would permit a legislative body or its presiding officer to remove or limit participation by members of the public who engage in behavior that disrupts, disturbs, impedes, or renders infeasible the orderly conduct of a meeting, including those participating via a two-way telephonic service or audiovisual platform.

Section 24-27

These sections include legislative intent language.

Status

Amendment History

02-21-25

As introduced, this bill would strike and replace provisions relating to teleconference meeting requirements for legislative bodies of local agencies.

04-07-25

As amended, this bill would make numerous changes to the Brown Act, including (1) modifying existing and adding new types of teleconferencing flexibility; (2) increasing public access; (3) expanding language access; and (4) other changes.

This bill would, among other things, make broad changes to the definition of the term “legislative body” and expand the definition of what constitutes a “meeting” for purposes of the Brown Act. This bill would also include new restrictions for “special meetings” and make changes to the rules for closed sessions of public meetings. In addition, this bill would extend, from nine to 12 months after an alleged violation, the length of time that a petitioner has to submit a cease-and-desist letter to a legislative body before filing an action to determine if a legislative body violated the Brown Act.

05-29-2025

This bill was amended to (1) alter requirements for teleconferencing flexibility; (2) remove the expansion of definitions for the terms “meeting” and “legislative body,” and provisions related to closed meetings; (3) modify the requirement for certain local agencies to provide remote participation for the public; (4) modify translation and interpretation provisions; and (5) make other technical and clarifying changes.



Of particular importance is that this bill would create a new category of legislative body for purposes of the Brown Act called an “eligible legislative body,” which it would define as follows:

- A city council of a city with a population of 30,000 or more;
- A county board of supervisors of a county, or city and county, with a population of 30,000 or more;
- A county council of a city located in a county with a population of 200,000 or more; and
- The board of directors of a special district that serves over 200,000 people or more and has an internet website.

07-08-25

As amended, this bill would make numerous changes to the Brown Act, including new public access and participation requirements for specified legislative bodies, new exemptions from certain teleconferencing requirements for eligible subsidiary bodies and eligible multijurisdictional bodies, extensions of law providing exemptions from certain teleconferencing requirements for specified legislative bodies or under special circumstances, and additional changes.

This bill would change the definition of the term “eligible legislative body” to:

- A city council of a city with a population of 30,000 or more;
- A county board of supervisors of a county, or city and county, with a population of 30,000 or more;
- A city council of a city located in a county with a population of 600,000 or more; and
- The board of directors of a special district whose boundaries include a population of 200,000 or more and that has an internet website.

07-17-25

As amended, this bill would make clarifying changes to existing bill language.

09-02-25

This bill was amended to reflect current provisions.

Importance to the Authority

Multiple bills were introduced during and since the COVID-19 pandemic to add to or fix certain parts of the Brown Act. Several of the teleconference flexibilities afforded in those bills are set to expire in 2026. SB 707 would extend certain provisions while simultaneously using it as an opportunity to modernize the Brown Act. SB 707, therefore, represents the most extensive changes to the Brown Act in several years.

SLDMWA staff and consultants have been participating in a coalition of local government associations that have been discussing the legislative landscape around the Brown Act for the last several months. Initially, special districts were excluded from new requirements imposed by SB 707. The California Special Districts Association (CSDA) worked with the author’s office to negotiate mutually agreeable amendments to the introduced version of the bill that would have allowed their stakeholders to remain neutral. Despite CSDA’s advocacy efforts, the amendments proposed in the May 29 version of the bill “significantly recast it into a measure that [was] no longer practicable and one that special districts [could not] reasonably comply with.”

A coalition of local government organizations led by the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) have also been working with the author’s office to amend the bill. This included merging language in SB 239 (*Arreguin*, 2025), which CSAC sponsored and ACWA supported, and SB 707. Since then, CSAC has



continued working with the author's office to develop amendments that address their remaining concerns. AB 259 (*Rubio*, 2025), which extended alternative teleconferencing and the ability to meet remotely under emergency circumstances until 2030, was also eventually merged into the bill. AB 259 was sponsored by Three Valleys Municipal Water District and supported by ACWA.

The SLDMWA Board adopted a "Oppose Unless Amended" position on SB 707 on July 10 and joined an "Oppose Unless Amended" coalition led by CSDA. The bill was heard and amended in the Assembly Local Government Committee on July 16. On August 22, CSDA advised coalition members that it removed its opposition and adopted a "Neutral" position on SB 707 following bill language negotiations with the Author's office.

The four primary concerns, and priority issues, outlined in CSDA's Assembly Appropriations Committee coalition letter were as follows:

1. The provisions relating to eligible legislative bodies;
2. Confusion regarding eligible subsidiary bodies and teleconferencing;
3. Uncertainty around identifying and establishing applicable languages; and
4. Costly litigation.

CSDA obtained amendments that addressed all four concerns. Notably, the definition of the term "eligible legislative body" was narrowed, significantly cutting down the population of special districts included and subject to the requirements of the Brown Act under SB 707 (approximately 50 special districts).

The coalition's next two primary concerns, including the (1) confusion around eligible subsidiary bodies and teleconferencing and (2) extension of the 9-month invalidation action window to 12 months, were also addressed. Specifically, language was added to the bill to clarify the intent of the "eligible legislative body" provisions and removal of the invalidation extension.

The final concern, the "applicable languages" provisions, are of utmost importance to the author. Ultimately, the author's office agreed to allow special districts to use language data specific to their service area. However, the provisions otherwise remain the same as in the July 17 bill version.

The League of California Cities adopted, and remains at, an "Opposed Unless Amended" position on SB 707 due to it being an unfunded state mandate, among other constraints impacting cities.

Importance to the Authority

The requirements of SB 707 are intended to ensure greater accessibility and transparency to legislative bodies' public meetings. The Water Authority Board adopted an "Oppose Unless Amended" position on the bill on July 10 and joined CSDA's "Oppose Unless Amended" coalition. The primary concerns identified by CSDA's coalition have since been addressed with the author's office and CSDA has removed its opposition from and moved to a "Neutral" position on the bill.

The amendments to SB 707 remove its potential impact on the majority of the Water Authority's members, with potentially only Valley Water and the City of Tracy being impacted by the provisions of the bill. For these reasons, SLDMWA staff recommend changing to a "Watch" position.



Guidelines for Taking Positions on Legislation

A number of controversial bills are introduced each year in the Congress and in the California Legislature. It is important to understand how the Authority takes positions on legislation.

Policy

By Agenda Item 7, dated December 12, 2024, the Board adopted the Fiscal Year 2026 Objectives.

Water Authority's Positions on Legislation

The Water Authority takes positions on legislation that, if enacted, would impact Water Authority members, consistent with Water Authority Board adopted Goals and Objectives. The Water Authority may take the following positions on legislation: Oppose, Support, Oppose Unless Amended, Support if Amended, Not Favor, Favor, Not Favor Unless Amended, Favor if Amended, and Watch (neutral). The Water Authority's staff and consultants testify and advocate with legislators and staff through meetings and member agency contacts on all positions except Watch, Favor and Not Favor. For Favor and Not Favor positions, written communication of the Water Authority's position is provided to the legislator. Nothing in this section should be read to preclude the Executive Director or his or her designee from taking an informal support or informal oppose position on behalf of the Water Authority that is consistent with adopted legislative or policy objectives, or to preclude the Executive Director from communicating a position on emergency legislation after obtaining the concurrence of the Chair, or the Chair's designee, provided that the Executive Director informs the Board regarding such positions on emergency legislation no later than the next regularly scheduled Board meeting.

Amendment Development Process

If the Water Authority takes an Oppose Unless Amended or Support if Amended position, the Water Authority will typically discuss the concepts for the amendments at the meeting. Then Water Authority staff, in consultation with Committee and/or Board Members as needed, will develop the amendments after the meeting.

Information Sharing

To provide adequate information to the entire Water Authority membership, the Water Authority provides legislative updates, posts positions and other information on our website, and sends out advisories and alerts on key legislation.

The Water Authority's legislative department is available to provide specific information on bills on request and Board Members are encouraged to communicate Water Authority positions on priority legislation in meetings with legislative staff, consistent with Water Authority policy. The Water Authority's Water Policy Director appreciates being informed by Water Authority members of positions taken by Water Authority members on legislation.

BILL TEXT

119TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 4879

To amend the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act to expand eligibility for grants related to emergency water assistance, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

AUGUST 5, 2025

Mr. COSTA (for himself and Mr. EDWARDS) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To amend the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act to expand eligibility for grants related to emergency water assistance, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Emergency Rural
5 Water Response Act of 2025”.

1 **SEC. 2. EMERGENCY AND IMMINENT COMMUNITY WATER**
2 **ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM.**

3 Section 306A of the Consolidated Farm and Rural
4 Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1926a) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (d)(1)—

6 (A) by redesignating subparagraphs (C)
7 and (D) as subparagraphs (D) and (E), respec-
8 tively; and

9 (B) by inserting after subparagraph (B)
10 the following:

11 “(C) for associated uses related to water
12 resources infrastructure, including facilities for
13 potable water, wastewater, storm drainage, or
14 solid waste;”; and

15 (2) in subsection (e)(1)(A), by striking
16 “10,000” and inserting “35,000”.

17 **SEC. 3. NPDES PERMIT EXEMPTION.**

18 Section 402(l) of the Federal Water Pollution Control
19 Act (33 U.S.C. 1342(l)) is amended by adding at the end
20 the following:

21 “(4) EMERGENCY USE OF PORTABLE WATER
22 TREATMENT AND FILTRATION FACILITIES.—During
23 any 6-month period immediately following a declara-
24 tion by a State of a disaster or state of emergency,
25 no permit shall be required under this section by the
26 Administrator (or the State, in the case of a permit

1 program approved under subsection (b)) for dis-
2 charges from a portable water treatment and filtra-
3 tion facility installed in the area covered by the dec-
4 laration to provide clean water as needed to respond
5 to conditions caused by the event that resulted in
6 the declaration.”.

○

Proposed Amendments Mock-up Based on RN 25 21491

**2025-2026 SB-707 (Durazo (S))
Version Number 95 - Amended 7/17/25**

*******Unofficial Copy*******

An act to amend Sections 54952.7, 54953, 54953.5, 54953.7, 54954.2, 54954.3, 54956, 54956.5, 54957.6, 54957.9, ~~54957.95~~, and ~~54960.2~~ 54957.95 of, to amend and repeal Section 54952.2 of, to add Sections 54953.8, 54953.8.1, 54953.8.2, and 54957.96 to, and to add and repeal Sections 54953.4, 54953.8.3, 54953.8.4, 54953.8.5, 54953.8.6, and 54953.8.7 of, the Government Code, relating to local government.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 54952.2 of the Government Code, as amended by Section 1 of Chapter 89 of the Statutes of 2020, is amended to read:

54952.2. (a) As used in this chapter, “meeting” means any congregation of a majority of the members of a legislative body at the same time and location, including teleconference location as permitted by Section 54953, to hear, discuss, deliberate, or take action on any item that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body.

(b) (1) A majority of the members of a legislative body shall not, outside a meeting authorized by this chapter, use a series of communications of any kind, directly or through intermediaries, to discuss, deliberate, or take action on any item of business that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not be construed as preventing an employee or official of a local agency, from engaging in separate conversations or communications outside of a meeting authorized by this chapter with members of a legislative body in order to answer questions or provide information regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency, if that person does not communicate to members of the legislative body the comments or position of any other member or members of the legislative body.

(3) (A) Paragraph (1) shall not be construed as preventing a member of the legislative body from engaging in separate conversations or communications on an internet-based social media platform to answer questions, provide information to the public, or to solicit information from the public regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body provided that a majority of the members of the legislative body do not use the internet-based social media platform to discuss among themselves business of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body. A member of the legislative body shall not respond directly to any communication on an internet-based social media platform regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body that is made, posted, or shared by any other member of the legislative body.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, all of the following definitions shall apply:

(i) “Discuss among themselves” means communications made, posted, or shared on an internet-based social media platform between members of a legislative body, including comments or use of digital icons that express reactions to communications made by other members of the legislative body.

(ii) “Internet-based social media platform” means an online service that is open and accessible to the public.

(iii) “Open and accessible to the public” means that members of the general public have the ability to access and participate, free of charge, in the social media platform without the approval by the social media platform or a person or entity other than the social media platform, including any forum and chatroom, and cannot be blocked from doing so, except when the internet-based social media platform determines that an individual violated its protocols or rules.

(c) Nothing in this section shall impose the requirements of this chapter upon any of the following:

(1) Individual contacts or conversations between a member of a legislative body and any other person that do not violate subdivision (b).

(2) The attendance of a majority of the members of a legislative body at a conference or similar gathering open to the public that involves a discussion of issues of general interest to the public or to public agencies of the type represented by the legislative body, provided that a majority of the members do not discuss among themselves, other than as part of the scheduled program, business of a specified nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to allow members of the public free admission to a conference or similar gathering at which the organizers have required other participants or registrants to pay fees or charges as a condition of attendance.

(3) The attendance of a majority of the members of a legislative body at an open and publicized meeting organized to address a topic of local community concern by a person or organization other than the local agency, provided that a majority of the members do not discuss among themselves, other than as part of the scheduled program, business of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body of the local agency.

(4) The attendance of a majority of the members of a legislative body at an open and noticed meeting of another body of the local agency, or at an open and noticed meeting of a legislative body of another local agency, provided that a majority of the members do not discuss among themselves, other than as part of the scheduled meeting, business of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body of the local agency.

(5) The attendance of a majority of the members of a legislative body at a purely social or ceremonial occasion, provided that a majority of the members do not discuss among themselves business of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body of the local agency.

(6) The attendance of a majority of the members of a legislative body at an open and noticed meeting of a standing committee of that body, provided that the members of the legislative body who are not members of the standing committee attend only as observers.

SEC. 2. Section 54952.2 of the Government Code, as added by Section 2 of Chapter 89 of the Statutes of 2020, is repealed.

SEC. 3. Section 54952.7 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54952.7. A local agency shall provide a copy of this chapter to any person elected or appointed to serve as a member of a legislative body of the local agency.

SEC. 4. Section 54953 of the Government Code, as amended by Section 2 of Chapter 534 of

the Statutes of 2023, is amended to read:

54953. (a) All meetings of the legislative body of a local agency shall be open and public, and all persons shall be permitted to attend any meeting of the legislative body of a local agency, except as otherwise provided in this chapter.

(b) (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the legislative body of a local agency may use teleconferencing for the benefit of the public and the legislative body of a local agency in connection with any meeting or proceeding authorized by law. The teleconferenced meeting or proceeding shall comply with all otherwise applicable requirements of this chapter and all otherwise applicable provisions of law relating to a specific type of meeting or proceeding.

(2) Teleconferencing, as authorized by this section, may be used for all purposes in connection with any meeting within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body. If the legislative body of a local agency elects to use teleconferencing, the legislative body of a local agency shall comply with all of the following:

(A) All votes taken during a teleconferenced meeting shall be by rollcall.

(B) The teleconferenced meetings shall be conducted in a manner that protects the statutory and constitutional rights of the parties or the public appearing before the legislative body of a local agency.

(C) The legislative body shall give notice of the meeting and post agendas as otherwise required by this chapter.

(D) The legislative body shall allow members of the public to access the meeting and the agenda shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the legislative body directly pursuant to Section 54954.3.

(3) If the legislative body of a local agency elects to use teleconferencing, it shall post agendas at all teleconference locations. Each teleconference location shall be identified in the notice and agenda of the meeting or proceeding, and each teleconference location shall be accessible to the public. During the teleconference, at least a quorum of the members of the legislative body shall participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction, except as expressly provided in this chapter.

(4) The teleconferencing requirements of this subdivision shall not apply to remote participation described in subdivision (c).

(c) (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a legislative body shall allow a member of the body with a disability, ~~as defined in Section 12102 of Title 42 of the United States Code, that precludes the member's in-person attendance at meetings of the body and that is not otherwise reasonably accommodated pursuant to the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.),~~ to participate in any meeting of the legislative body by remote participation as a reasonable accommodation under the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.) and Section 11135 of the Government Code, unless the legislative body can demonstrate that allowing the remote participation would impose an undue hardship.

(2) A member of a legislative body participating in a meeting by remote participation pursuant to this subdivision shall do both of the following:

(A) The member shall participate through both audio and visual technology, except that any member with a disability, as defined in Section 12102 of Title 42 of the United States Code, ~~that precludes the member from being physically capable of appearing on camera~~ may participate only through audio technology if a physical condition related to their disability results in a need to participate off-camera.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

(B) The member shall disclose at the meeting before any action is taken, whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the room at the remote location with the member, and the general nature of the member's relationship with any of those individuals.

(3) Remote participation under this subdivision shall be treated as in-person attendance at the physical meeting location for all purposes, including any requirement that a quorum of the legislative body participate from any particular location. The provisions of subdivision (b) and Sections 54953.8 to 54953.8.7, inclusive, shall not apply to remote participation under this subdivision.

(4) For purposes of this subdivision, "disability" means any condition that meets the definition of "disability" under Section 12102 of Title 42 of the United States Code and its implementing regulation at 28 C.F.R. 35.108 or under Section 11135 of the Government Code and its implementing regulation at 2 C.C.R. 14020(p).

Formatted: Font: Bold

(d) (1) No legislative body shall take action by secret ballot, whether preliminary or final.

(2) The legislative body of a local agency shall publicly report any action taken and the vote or abstention on that action of each member present for the action.

(3) (A) Prior to taking final action, the legislative body shall orally report a summary of a recommendation for a final action on the salaries, salary schedules, or compensation paid in the form of fringe benefits of either of the following during the open meeting in which the final action is to be taken:

(i) A local agency executive, as defined in subdivision (d) of Section 3511.1.

(ii) A department head or other similar administrative officer of the local agency.

(B) This paragraph shall not affect the public's right under the California Public Records Act (Division 10 (commencing with Section 7920.000) of Title 1) to inspect or copy records created or received in the process of developing the recommendation.

(e) For purposes of this section, both of the following definitions apply:

(1) (A) "Teleconference" means a meeting of a legislative body, the members of which are in different locations, connected by electronic means, through either audio or video, or both.

(B) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), "teleconference" does not include one or more members watching or listening to a meeting via webcasting or any other similar electronic medium that does not permit members to interactively speak, discuss, or deliberate on matters.

(2) "Remote participation" means participation in a meeting by teleconference at a location other than any physical meeting location designated in the notice of the meeting.

SEC. 5. Section 54953.4 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.4.

(a) The Legislature finds and declares that public access, including through translation of agendas as required by this section, is necessary for an informed populace. The Legislature encourages local agencies to adopt public access requirements that exceed the requirements of this chapter by translating additional languages, employing human translators, and conducting additional outreach.

(ab) (1) In addition to any other applicable requirements of this chapter, a meeting held by a eligible legislative body pursuant to this chapter shall comply with both of the following requirements:

(A) (i) **(ia)** All open and public meetings shall include an opportunity for members of the public to attend via a two-way telephonic service or a two-way audiovisual platform, except if adequate telephonic or internet service is not operational at the meeting location. If adequate telephonic or internet service is operational at the meeting location during only a portion of the

meeting, the legislative body shall include an opportunity for members of the public to attend via a two-way telephonic service or a two-way audiovisual platform during that portion of the meeting.

(ib) (IA) On or before July 1, 2026, an eligible legislative body shall approve at a noticed public meeting in open session, and not on the consent calendar, a policy regarding disruption of telephonic or internet service occurring during meetings subject to this sub-subclause. The policy shall address the procedures for recessing and reconvening a meeting in the event of disruption and the efforts the eligible legislative body will make to attempt to restore service.

(IB) If a disruption of telephonic or internet service occurs during the meeting, the legislative body shall pause the open session of the meeting for no less than one hour and make a good faith attempt to restore service. The legislative body shall not resume the open session of the meeting for at least one hour following the disruption, or until telephonic or internet service is restored, whichever is earlier. However, the legislative body may meet in closed session during this period.

(IC) Upon resuming the open session, the legislative body shall adopt a finding by rollcall vote that they have made good faith efforts in accordance with the policy adopted pursuant to sub-sub-subclause (IA) and that the public interest in continuing the meeting outweighs the public interest in remote public access.

(III) Subclause (I) does not apply to a meeting that is held to do any of the following:

(ia) Attend a judicial or administrative proceeding to which the local agency is a party.

(ib) Inspect real or personal property provided that the topic of the meeting is limited to items directly related to the real or personal property.

(ic) Meet with elected or appointed officials of the United States or the State of California, solely to discuss a legislative or regulatory issue affecting the local agency and over which the federal or state officials have jurisdiction.

(id) Meet in or nearby a facility owned by the agency, provided that the topic of the meeting is limited to items directly related to the facility.

(ie) Meet in an emergency situation pursuant to Section 54956.5.

(ii) If an eligible legislative body elects to provide a two-way audiovisual platform, the eligible legislative body shall publicly post and provide a call-in option, and activate any automatic captioning function during the meeting if an automatic captioning function is included with the two-way audiovisual platform. If an eligible legislative body does not elect to provide a two-way audiovisual platform, the eligible legislative body shall provide a two-way telephonic service for the public to participate in the meeting, pursuant to subclause (I).

(B) (i) All open and public meetings for which attendance via a two-way telephonic service or a two-way audiovisual platform is provided in accordance with paragraph (1) shall provide the public with an opportunity to provide public comment in accordance with Section 54954.3 via the two-way telephonic or two-way audiovisual platform, and ensure the opportunity for the members of the public participating via a two-way telephonic or two-way audiovisual platform to provide public comment with the same time allotment as a person attending a meeting in person.

(2) (A) An eligible legislative body shall reasonably assist members of the public who wish to translate a public meeting into any language or wish to receive interpretation provided by another member of the public, so long as the interpretation is not disrupting to the meeting, as defined in Section 54957.95. The eligible legislative body shall publicize instructions on how to request assistance under this subdivision. Assistance may include any of the following, as determined by the eligible legislative body:

(i) Arranging space for one or more interpreters at the meeting location.

Formatted: Font: Bold

(ii) Allowing extra time during the meeting for interpretation to occur.
(iii) Ensuring participants may utilize their personal equipment or reasonably access facilities for participants to access commercially available interpretation services.

(B) This section does not require an eligible legislative body to provide interpretation of any public meeting, however, an eligible legislative body may elect to provide interpretation of any public meeting.

(C) The eligible legislative body is not responsible for the content or accuracy of any interpretation facilitated, assisted with, or provided under this subdivision. An action shall not be commenced or maintained against the eligible legislative body arising from the content or accuracy of any interpretation facilitated, assisted with, or provided under this subdivision.

(3) An eligible legislative body shall take the following actions to encourage residents, including those in underrepresented communities and non-English-speaking communities, to participate in public meetings:

(A) Have in place a system for electronically accepting and fulfilling requests for meeting agendas and documents pursuant to Section 54954.1 through email or through an integrated agenda management platform. Information about how to make a request using this system shall be accessible through a prominent direct link posted on the primary internet website home page of the eligible legislative body.

(B) (i) Create and maintain an accessible internet webpage dedicated to public meetings that includes, or provides a link to, all of the following information:

(I) A general explanation of the public meeting process for the eligible legislative body.

(II) An explanation of the procedures for a member of the public to provide in-person or remote oral public comment during a public meeting or to submit written public comment.

(III) A calendar of all public meeting dates with calendar listings that include the date, time, and location of each public meeting.

(IV) The agenda posted online pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 54954.2.

(ii) The eligible legislative body shall include a link to the webpage required by subparagraph (A) on the home page of the eligible legislative body's internet website.

(C) (i) Make reasonable efforts, as determined by the legislative body, to invite groups that do not traditionally participate in public meetings to attend those meetings, which may include, but are not limited to, all the following:

(I) Media organizations that provide news coverage in the jurisdiction of the eligible legislative body, including media organizations that serve non-English-speaking communities.

(II) Good government, civil rights, civic engagement, neighborhood, and community group organizations, or similar organizations that are active in the jurisdiction of the eligible legislative body, including organizations active in non-English-speaking communities.

(ii) Legislative bodies shall have broad discretion in the choice of reasonable efforts they make under this subparagraph. No action shall be commenced or maintained against an eligible legislative body arising from failing to provide public meeting information to any specific group pursuant to this ~~section~~ subparagraph.

(b)(1) (A) The agenda for each meeting of an eligible legislative body shall be translated into all applicable languages, and each translation shall be posted in accordance with Section 54954.2. Each translation shall include instructions in the applicable language describing how to join the meeting by the telephonic or internet-based service option, including any requirements for registration for public comment.

(B) The accessible internet webpage provided under subparagraph (B) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) shall be translated into all applicable languages, and each translation shall be accessible through a prominent direct link posted on the primary internet website home page of the eligible legislative body.

(2) A translation made using a digital translation service shall satisfy the requirements of paragraph (1).

(3) The eligible legislative body shall make available a physical location that is freely accessible to the public in reasonable proximity to the physical location in which the agenda and translations are posted as described in paragraph (1), and shall allow members of the public to post additional translations of the agenda in that location.

(4) The eligible legislative body is not responsible for the content or accuracy of any translation provided pursuant to this ~~section~~subdivision. No action shall be commenced or maintained against an eligible legislative body arising from the content, accuracy, posting, or removal of any translation provided by the eligible legislative body or posted by any person pursuant to this ~~section~~subdivision.

(5) For the purposes of this section, the agenda does not include the entire agenda packet.

(d) This section shall not be construed to affect or supersede any other applicable civil rights, nondiscrimination, or public access laws.

~~(e)~~ For purposes of this section, all of the following definitions apply:

(1) (A) "Applicable languages" means ~~languages~~ *languages, according to data from the most recent American Community Survey, spoken jointly by 20 percent or more of the population in the city or county in which the eligible legislative body is located that applicable population, provided that 20 percent or more of the population that speaks that language in that city or county speaks English less than "very well" and jointly speaks a language other than English according to data from the most recent American Community Survey. well.*

(B) For the purposes of subparagraph (A), the applicable population shall be determined as follows:

(i) For an eligible legislative body that is a city council or county board of supervisors, the applicable population shall be the population of the city or county.

(ii) For an eligible legislative body of a special district, the applicable population shall be either of the following, at the discretion of the board of directors of the special district:

(I) The population of the county with the greatest population within the boundaries of the special district.

(II) The population of the service area of the special district, if the special district has the data to determine what languages spoken by the population within its service area meet the requirements of paragraph (A).

~~(B)~~

(C) If more than three languages meet the criteria set forth in subparagraph (A), "applicable languages" shall mean the three languages described in subparagraph (A) that are spoken by the largest percentage of the population.

~~(C)~~

(D) An eligible legislative body may elect to determine the applicable languages based upon a source other than the most recent American Community Survey if it makes a finding, based upon substantial evidence, that the other source provides equally or more reliable data for the territory over which the eligible legislative body exercises jurisdiction.

(2) "Eligible legislative body" ~~includes all~~ means any of the following:

Formatted: Font: Bold

(A) A city council of a city with a population of 30,000 or more.
(B) A county board of supervisors of a county, or city and county, with a population of 30,000 or more.

(C) A city council of a city located in a county with a population of 600,000 or more.

(D) The board of directors of a special district ~~whose boundaries include a population of 200,000 or more and that has an internet website.~~ *that has an internet website and meets any of the following conditions:*

(i) The boundaries of the special district include the entirety of a county with a population of 600,000 or more, and the special district has over 200 full-time equivalent employees.

(ii) The special district has over 1,000 full-time equivalent employees.

(iii) The special district has annual revenues, based on the most recent Financial Transaction Report data published by the California State Controller, that exceed four hundred million dollars (\$400,000,000), adjusted annually for inflation commencing January 1, 2027, as measured by the percentage change in the California Consumer Price Index from January 1 of the prior year to January 1 of the current year, and the special district employs over 200 full-time equivalent employees.

(3) “Two-way audiovisual platform” means an online platform that provides participants with the ability to participate in a meeting via both an interactive video conference and a two-way telephonic service.

(4) “Two-way telephonic service” means a telephone service that does not require internet access and allows participants to dial a telephone number to listen and verbally participate.

(d) This section shall become operative on July 1, 2026.

~~(d)~~

(e) On or before January 1, 2029, the Senate Committee on Local Government shall hold an informational hearing assessing the implementation of this section, including, but not limited to, implementation issues and opportunities for improvement in the translation requirements of this section.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

~~(f)~~ This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 6. Section 54953.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54953.5. (a) Any person attending an open and public meeting of a legislative body of a local agency shall have the right to record the proceedings in the absence of a reasonable finding by the legislative body of the local agency that the recording cannot continue without noise, illumination, or obstruction of view that constitutes, or would constitute, a persistent disruption of the proceedings.

(b) Any recording of an open and public meeting made for whatever purpose by or at the direction of the local agency shall be subject to inspection pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Division 10 (commencing with Section 7920.000) of Title 1), but, notwithstanding Section 34090, may be erased or destroyed 30 days after the recording. Any inspection of an audio or video recording shall be provided without charge on equipment made available by the local agency.

SEC. 7. Section 54953.7 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54953.7. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, legislative bodies of local agencies may impose requirements upon themselves which allow greater access to their meetings than prescribed by the minimal standards set forth in this chapter. In addition thereto, an elected legislative body of a local agency may impose those requirements on appointed legislative bodies of the local agency.

SEC. 8. Section 54953.8 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8. (a) The legislative body of a local agency may use teleconferencing as authorized by subdivision (b) of Section 54953 without complying with the requirements of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 54953 in any of the circumstances described in Sections 54953.8.1 to 54953.8.7, inclusive.

(b) A legislative body that holds a teleconference meeting pursuant to this section shall, in addition to any other applicable requirements of this chapter, comply with all of the following:

(1) The legislative body shall provide at least one of the following as a means by which the public may remotely hear and visually observe the meeting, and remotely address the legislative body:

(A) A two-way audiovisual platform.

(B) A two-way telephonic service and a live webcasting of the meeting.

(2) In each instance in which notice of the time of the teleconference meeting held pursuant to this section is otherwise given or the agenda for the meeting is otherwise posted, the legislative body shall also give notice of the means by which members of the public may access the meeting and offer public comment. The agenda shall identify and include an opportunity for all persons to attend via a call-in option or an internet-based service option.

(3) In the event of a disruption that prevents the legislative body from broadcasting the meeting to members of the public using the call-in option or internet-based service option, or in the event of a disruption within the local agency's control that prevents members of the public from offering public comments using the call-in option or internet-based service option, the legislative body shall take no further action on items appearing on the meeting agenda until public access to the meeting via the call-in option or internet-based service option is restored. Actions taken on agenda items during a disruption that prevents the legislative body from broadcasting the meeting may be challenged pursuant to Section 54960.1.

(4) The legislative body shall not require public comments to be submitted in advance of the meeting and must provide an opportunity for the public to address the legislative body and offer comment in real time.

(5) Notwithstanding Section 54953.3, an individual desiring to provide public comment through the use of an internet website, or other online platform, not under the control of the local legislative body, that requires registration to log in to a teleconference may be required to register as required by the third-party internet website or online platform to participate.

(6) (A) A legislative body that provides a timed public comment period for each agenda item shall not close the public comment period for the agenda item, or the opportunity to register, pursuant to paragraph (5), to provide public comment until that timed public comment period has elapsed.

(B) A legislative body that does not provide a timed public comment period, but takes public comment separately on each agenda item, shall allow a reasonable amount of time per agenda item to allow public members the opportunity to provide public comment, including time for members of the public to register pursuant to paragraph (5), or otherwise be recognized for the purpose of providing public comment.

(C) A legislative body that provides a timed general public comment period that does not correspond to a specific agenda item shall not close the public comment period or the opportunity to register, pursuant to paragraph (5), until the timed general public comment period has elapsed.

(7) Any member of the legislative body who participates in a teleconference meeting from a remote location pursuant to this section and the specific provision of law that the member relied upon to permit their participation by teleconferencing shall be listed in the minutes of the meeting.

(8) The legislative body shall have and implement a procedure for receiving and swiftly resolving requests for reasonable accommodation for individuals with disabilities, consistent with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12132), and resolving any doubt in favor of accessibility. In each instance in which notice of the time of the meeting is otherwise given or the agenda for the meeting is otherwise posted, the legislative body shall also give notice of the procedure for receiving and resolving requests for accommodation.

(9) The legislative body shall conduct meetings subject to this chapter consistent with applicable civil rights and nondiscrimination laws.

(10) A two-way audiovisual platform may be structured to disable the use of video for the public participants.

(c) A local agency shall identify and make available to legislative bodies a list of one or more meeting locations that may be available for use by the legislative bodies to conduct their meetings.

(d) (1) Nothing in this section shall prohibit a legislative body from providing the public with additional teleconference locations.

(2) Nothing in this section shall prohibit a legislative body from providing the public with additional physical locations in which the public may observe and address the legislative body by electronic means.

(e) A member of a legislative body who participates in a teleconference meeting from a remote location pursuant to this section shall publicly disclose at the meeting before any action is taken whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the room at the remote location with the member, and the general nature of the member's relationship with those individuals.

(f) The teleconferencing provisions described in Section 54953 and Sections 54953.8.1 to 54953.8.7, inclusive, are cumulative. A legislative body may elect to use any teleconferencing provisions that are applicable to a meeting, regardless of whether any other teleconferencing provisions would also be applicable to that meeting.

⊕

(g) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) "Remote location" means a location from which a member of a legislative body participates in a meeting pursuant to paragraph (7) of subdivision (b), other than any physical meeting location designated in the notice of the meeting. Remote locations need not be accessible to the public.

(2) "Teleconference" means a meeting of a legislative body, the members of which are in different locations, connected by electronic means, through either audio or video, or both.

(3) "Two-way audiovisual platform" means an online platform that provides participants with the ability to participate in a meeting via both an interactive video conference and a two-way telephonic service.

(4) "Two-way telephonic service" means a telephone service that does not require internet access and allows participants to dial a telephone number to listen and verbally participate.

(5) "Webcasting" means a streaming video broadcast online or on television, using streaming media technology to distribute a single content source to many simultaneous listeners and viewers.

SEC. 9. Section 54953.8.1 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.1. (a) A health authority may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8, provided that it complies with the requirements of that section.

(b) Nothing in this section or Section 54953.8 shall be construed as discouraging health authority members from regularly meeting at a common physical site within the jurisdiction of the authority or from using teleconference locations within or near the jurisdiction of the authority.

(c) For purposes of this section, a health authority means any entity created pursuant to Sections 14018.7, 14087.31, 14087.35, 14087.36, 14087.38, and 14087.9605 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, any joint powers authority created pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 6500) of Chapter 5 of Division 7 for the purpose of contracting pursuant to Section 14087.3 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and any advisory committee to a county-sponsored health plan licensed pursuant to Chapter 2.2 (commencing with Section 1340) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code if the advisory committee has 12 or more members.

SEC. 10. Section 54953.8.2 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.2. (a) A legislative body of a local agency may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8 during a proclaimed state of emergency or local emergency, provided that it complies with the requirements of that section and the teleconferencing is used in either of the following circumstances:

(1) For the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

(2) After a determination described in paragraph (1) is made that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

(b) If the state of emergency or local emergency remains active, in order to continue to teleconference pursuant to this section, the legislative body shall, no later than 45 days after teleconferencing for the first time pursuant to this section, and every 45 days thereafter, make the following findings by majority vote:

(1) The legislative body has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency or local emergency.

(2) The state of emergency or local emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person.

(c) This section shall not be construed to require the legislative body to provide a physical location from which the public may attend or comment.

(d) Notwithstanding paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 54953.8, a legislative body conducting a teleconference meeting pursuant to this section may elect to use a two-way telephonic service without a live webcasting of the meeting.

(e) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) "Local emergency" means a condition of extreme peril to persons or property proclaimed by the governing body of the local agency affected, in accordance with Section 8630 of the California Emergency Services Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 8550) of Division 1 of Title 2), as defined in Section 8680.9, or a local health emergency declared pursuant to Section 101080 of the Health and Safety Code. Local emergency, as used in this section, refers only to local emergencies in the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.

(2) "State of emergency" means state of emergency proclaimed pursuant to Section 8625 of the California Emergency Services Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 8550) of Division 1 of Title 2).

SEC. 11. Section 54953.8.3 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.3. (a) A legislative body of a local agency may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8 if, during the teleconference meeting, at least a quorum of the

members of the legislative body participates in person from a singular physical location clearly identified on the agenda, which location shall be open to the public and situated within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction, provided that the legislative body complies with the requirements of Section 54953.8 and all of the following additional requirements:

(1) A member of the legislative body notifies the legislative body at the earliest opportunity possible, including at the start of a regular meeting, of their need to participate remotely for just cause, including a general description of the circumstances relating to their need to appear remotely at the given meeting.

(2) The member shall participate through both audio and visual technology.

(3) (A) The provisions of this subdivision shall not serve as a means for any member of a legislative body to participate in meetings of the legislative body solely by teleconference from a remote location for just cause for more than the following number of meetings, as applicable:

(i) Two meetings per year, if the legislative body regularly meets once per month or less.

(ii) Five meetings per year, if the legislative body regularly meets twice per month.

(iii) Seven meetings per year, if the legislative body regularly meets three or more times per month.

(B) For the purpose of counting meetings attended by teleconference under this paragraph, a “meeting” shall be defined as any number of meetings of the legislative body of a local agency that begin on the same calendar day.

(b) The minutes for the meeting shall identify the specific provision in subdivision (c) that each member relied upon to participate remotely. This subdivision shall not be construed to require the member to disclose any medical diagnosis or disability, or any personal medical information that is otherwise exempt under existing law, including, but not limited to, the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 56) of Part 2.6 of Division 1 of the Civil Code).

(c) For purposes of this section, “just cause” means any of the following:

(1) Childcare or caregiving need of a child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, spouse, or domestic partner that requires them to participate remotely. “Child,” “parent,” “grandparent,” “grandchild,” and “sibling” have the same meaning as those terms do in Section 12945.2.

(2) A contagious illness that prevents a member from attending in person.

(3) A need related to a physical or mental condition that is not subject to subdivision (c) of Section 54953.

(4) Travel while on official business of the legislative body or another state or local agency.

(5) An immunocompromised child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, spouse, or domestic partner of the member that requires the member to participate remotely.

(6) A physical or family medical emergency that prevents a member from attending in person.

~~(d) This section shall not be construed to limit the ability of a legislative body to use alternative teleconferencing provisions.~~

(e) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 12. Section 54953.8.4 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.4. (a) An eligible neighborhood council may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8, provided that it complies with the requirements of that section and all of the following have occurred:

(1) (A) The city council for a city described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) considers whether to adopt a resolution to authorize eligible neighborhood councils to use teleconferencing as described in this section at an open and regular meeting.

(B) If the city council adopts a resolution described in subparagraph (A), an eligible neighborhood council may elect to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section if a majority of the eligible neighborhood council votes to do so. The eligible neighborhood council shall notify the city council if it elects to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section and its justification for doing so.

(C) Upon receiving notification from an eligible neighborhood council described in subparagraph (B), the city council may adopt a resolution to prohibit the eligible neighborhood council from using teleconferencing pursuant to this section.

(2) After completing the requirements of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1), an eligible neighborhood council that holds a meeting pursuant to this subdivision shall do all of the following:

(A) At least a quorum of the members of the eligible neighborhood council shall participate from locations within the boundaries of the city in which the eligible neighborhood council is established.

(B) At least once per year, at least a quorum of the members of the eligible neighborhood council shall participate in person from a singular physical location that is open to the public and within the boundaries of the eligible neighborhood council.

(3) If the meeting is during regular business hours of the offices of the city council member that represents the area that includes the eligible neighborhood council, the eligible neighborhood council shall provide a publicly accessible physical location from which the public may attend or comment, which shall be the offices of the city council member who represents the area where the eligible neighborhood council is located, unless the eligible neighborhood council identifies an alternative location.

(4) If the meeting is outside regular business hours, the eligible neighborhood council shall make reasonable efforts to accommodate any member of the public that requests an accommodation to participate in the meeting.

(b) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) "Accommodation" means providing a publicly accessible physical location for the member of the public to participate from, providing access to technology necessary to participate in the meeting, or identifying locations or resources available that could provide the member of the public with an opportunity to participate in the meeting.

(2) "Eligible neighborhood council" means a neighborhood council that is an advisory body with the purpose to promote more citizen participation in government and make government more responsive to local needs that is established pursuant to the charter of a city with a population of more than 3,000,000 people that is subject to this chapter.

(c) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 13. Section 54953.8.5 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.5. (a) An eligible community college student organization may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8, provided that it complies with the requirements of that section and all of the following additional requirements:

(1) An eligible community college student organization may only use teleconferencing as described in Section 54953.8 after all the following have occurred:

(A) The board of trustees for a community college district considers whether to adopt a resolution to authorize eligible community college student organizations to use teleconferencing as described in this section at an open and regular meeting.

(B) If the board of trustees for a community college district adopts a resolution described in subparagraph (A), an eligible community college student organization may elect to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section if a majority of the eligible community college student organization votes to do so. The eligible community college student organization shall notify the board of trustees if it elects to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section and its justification for doing so.

(C) Upon receiving notification from an eligible community college student organization as described in subparagraph (B), the board of trustees may adopt a resolution to prohibit the eligible community college student organization from using teleconferencing pursuant to this section.

(D) (i) Except as specified in clause (ii), at least a quorum of the members of the eligible community college student organization shall participate from a singular physical location that is accessible to the public and is within the community college district in which the eligible community college student organization is established.

(ii) The requirements described in clause (i) shall not apply to the California Online Community College.

(iii) Notwithstanding the requirements of clause (i), a person may count toward the establishment of a quorum pursuant to clause (i) regardless of whether the person is participating at the in-person location of the meeting or remotely if the person meets any of the following criteria:

(I) The person is under 18 years of age.

(II) The person is incarcerated.

(III) The person is unable to disclose the location that they are participating from because of either of the following circumstances:

(ia) The person has been issued a protective court order, including, but not limited to, a domestic violence restraining order.

(ib) The person is participating in a program that has to remain confidential, including, but not limited to, an independent living program.

(IV) The person provides childcare or caregiving to a child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, spouse, or domestic partner that requires them to participate remotely. For purposes of this subclause, "child," "parent," "grandparent," "grandchild," and "sibling" have the same meaning as those terms are defined in Section 12945.2.

(2) An eligible community college student organization that holds a meeting by teleconference as described in Section 54953.8 shall do the following, as applicable:

(A) (i) Except as specified in subparagraph (B), if the meeting is during regular business hours of the offices of the board of trustees of the community college district, the eligible community college student organization shall provide a publicly accessible physical location from which the public may attend or comment, which shall be the offices of the board of trustees of the community college district, unless the eligible community college student organization identifies an alternative location.

(ii) Except as specified in subparagraph (B), if the meeting is outside regular business hours, the eligible community college student organization shall make reasonable efforts to accommodate any member of the public that requests an accommodation to participate in the meeting. For the purposes of this subparagraph, "accommodation" means providing a publicly accessible physical

location for the member of the public to participate from, providing access to technology necessary to participate in the meeting, or identifying locations or resources available that could provide the member of the public with an opportunity to participate in the meeting.

(B) The requirements described in subparagraph (A) shall not apply to the California Online Community College.

(b) For purposes of this section, “eligible community college student organization” means a student body association organized pursuant to Section 76060 of the Education Code, or any other student-run community college organization that is required to comply with the meeting requirements of this chapter, that is in any community college recognized within the California Community Colleges system and includes the Student Senate for California Community Colleges.

(c) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 14. Section 54953.8.6 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.6. (a) An eligible subsidiary body may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8, provided that it complies with the requirements of that section and all of the following additional requirements:

(1) The eligible subsidiary body shall designate ~~at least one~~ physical meeting location within the boundaries of the legislative body that created the eligible subsidiary body where ~~members of the subsidiary body not participating remotely shall be present and~~ members of the public may physically attend, observe, hear, and participate in the meeting. At least one staff member of the eligible subsidiary body or the legislative body that created the eligible subsidiary body shall be present at ~~each the~~ physical meeting location during the meeting. The eligible subsidiary body shall post the agenda at ~~each the~~ physical meeting location, but need not post the agenda at a remote location.

(2) (A) A member of the eligible subsidiary body shall visibly appear on camera during the open portion of a meeting that is publicly accessible via the internet or other online platform, except if the member ~~is participating remotely pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 54953 and a physical condition related to their disability results in a need to participate off camera has a disability, as defined in Section 12102 of Title 42 of the United States Code, that precludes the member from being physically capable of appearing on camera.~~

Formatted: Font: Bold

(B) The visual appearance of a member of the eligible subsidiary body on camera may cease only when the appearance would be technologically ~~infeasible~~ ~~impracticable~~, including, but not limited to, when the member experiences a lack of reliable broadband or internet connectivity that would be remedied by joining without video, ~~or when the visual display of meeting materials, information, or speakers on the internet or other online platform requires the visual appearance of a member of a subsidiary body on camera to cease.~~

(C) If a member of the eligible subsidiary body does not appear on camera due to challenges with internet connectivity, the member shall announce the reason for their nonappearance ~~when~~ ~~before~~ they turn off their camera.

(3) An elected official serving as a member of an eligible subsidiary body in their official capacity shall not participate in a meeting of the eligible subsidiary body by teleconferencing pursuant to this section unless the use of teleconferencing complies with the requirements of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 54953.

(4) (A) In order to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section, the legislative body that established the eligible subsidiary body by charter, ordinance, resolution, or other formal action shall make the following findings by majority vote before the eligible subsidiary body uses teleconferencing pursuant to this section for the first time, and every ~~12-6~~ months thereafter:

(i) The legislative body has considered the circumstances of the eligible subsidiary body.

(ii) Teleconference meetings of the eligible subsidiary body would enhance public access to meetings of the eligible subsidiary body and the public has been made aware of the type of remote participation, audio-visual or telephonic, that will be made available at a regularly scheduled meeting and have been given the opportunity to comment at an in-person meeting of the legislative body authorizing the subsidiary body to meet entirely remotely.

(iii) Teleconference meetings of the eligible subsidiary body would promote the attraction, retention, and diversity of eligible subsidiary body members.

(B) (i) An eligible subsidiary body authorized to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section may request to present any recommendations it develops to the legislative body that created it.

(ii) Upon receiving a request described in clause (i), the legislative body that created the subsidiary body shall hold a discussion at a regular meeting held within 60 days after the legislative body receives the request, or if the legislative body does not have another regular meeting scheduled within 60 days after the legislative body receives the request, at the next regular meeting after the request is received.

(iii) The discussion required by clause (ii) shall not be placed on a consent calendar, but may be combined with the legislative body's subsequent consideration of the findings described in subparagraph (A) for the following 12 months.

(iv) The legislative body shall not take any action on any recommendations included in the report of a subsidiary body until the next regular meeting of the legislative body following the discussion described in clause (ii).

(C) After the legislative body makes the findings described in subparagraph (A), the eligible subsidiary body shall approve the use of teleconferencing by majority vote before using teleconference pursuant to this section.

(D) The legislative body that created the eligible subsidiary body may elect to prohibit the eligible subsidiary body from using teleconferencing pursuant to this section at any time.

(b) (1) For purposes of this section, "eligible subsidiary body" means a legislative body that meets all of the following:

- (A1) Is described in subdivision (b) of Section 54952.
- (B2) Serves exclusively in an advisory capacity.
- (C3) Is not authorized to take final action on legislation, regulations, contracts, licenses, permits, or any other entitlements, grants, or allocations of funds.
- (D4) Does not have primary subject matter jurisdiction, as defined by the charter, an ordinance, a resolution, or any formal action of the legislative body that created the subsidiary body, that focuses on elections, privacy, budgets, taxes or related spending proposals, police oversight, or removing from, or restricting access to, materials available in public libraries.

(2) An eligible subsidiary body may include members who are elected officials, members who are not elected officials, or any combination thereof.

(c) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 15. Section 54953.8.7 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54953.8.7. (a) An eligible multijurisdictional body may conduct a teleconference meeting pursuant to Section 54953.8, provided that it complies with the requirements of that section and all of the following additional requirements:

(1) The eligible multijurisdictional body has adopted a resolution that authorizes the eligible multijurisdictional body to use teleconferencing pursuant to this section at a regular meeting in open session.

(2) At least a quorum of the members of the eligible multijurisdictional body shall participate from one or more physical locations that are open to the public and within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.

(3) A member of the eligible multijurisdictional body who receives compensation for their service on the eligible multijurisdictional body shall participate from a physical location that is open to the public. For purposes of this paragraph, “compensation” does not include reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses.

(4) A member of the eligible multijurisdictional body may participate from a remote location provided that:

(A) The eligible multijurisdictional body identifies each member of the eligible multijurisdictional body who plans to participate remotely in the agenda.

(B) The member shall participate through both audio and visual technology.

(5) A member of the eligible multijurisdictional body shall not participate in a meeting remotely pursuant to this section, unless the location from which the member participates is more than 20 miles each way from any physical location of the meeting described in paragraph (2).

(6) The provisions of this section shall not serve as a means for any member of a legislative body to participate in meetings of the legislative body solely by teleconference from a remote location for more than the following number of meetings, as applicable:

(A) Five meetings per year, if the legislative body regularly meets twice per month.

(B) Two meetings per year, if the legislative body regularly meets once per month or less.

~~(C)~~ Seven meetings per year, if the legislative body regularly meets three or more times per month.

~~(D)~~ For the purpose of counting meetings attended by teleconference under this paragraph, a “meeting” shall be defined as any number of meetings of the legislative body of a local agency that begin on the same calendar day.

(b) For the purposes of this section, both of the following definitions apply:

(1) “Eligible multijurisdictional body” means a multijurisdictional board, commission, or advisory body of a multijurisdictional, cross-county agency, the membership of which board, commission, or advisory body is appointed, and the board, commission, or advisory body is otherwise subject to this chapter.

(2) “Multijurisdictional” means either of the following:

(A) A legislative body that includes representatives from more than one county, city, city and county, or special district.

(B) A legislative body of a joint powers entity formed pursuant to an agreement entered into in accordance with Article 1 (commencing with Section 6500) of Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title 1.

(c) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 16. Section 54954.2 of the Government Code, as amended by Section 92 of Chapter 131 of the Statutes of 2023, is amended to read:

54954.2. (a) (1) At least 72 hours before a regular meeting, the legislative body of the local agency, or its designee, shall post an agenda that meets all of the following requirements:

(A) The agenda shall contain a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting, including items to be discussed in closed session. A brief general description of an item generally need not exceed 20 words.

(B) The agenda shall specify the time and location of the regular meeting and shall be posted in a location that is freely accessible to members of the public and on the local agency’s internet website, if the local agency has one.

(C) (i) If requested, the agenda shall be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12132), and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof.

(ii) The agenda shall include information regarding how, to whom, and when a request for disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, may be made by a person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in the public meeting.

(2) For a meeting occurring on and after January 1, 2019, of a legislative body of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state that has an internet website, the following provisions shall apply:

(A) An online posting of an agenda shall be posted on the primary internet website home page of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state that is accessible through a prominent, direct link to the current agenda. The direct link to the agenda shall not be in a contextual menu; however, a link in addition to the direct link to the agenda may be accessible through a contextual menu.

(B) An online posting of an agenda, including, but not limited to, an agenda posted in an integrated agenda management platform, shall be posted in an open format that meets all of the following requirements:

(i) Retrievable, downloadable, indexable, and electronically searchable by commonly used internet search applications.

(ii) Platform independent and machine readable.

(iii) Available to the public free of charge and without any restriction that would impede the reuse or redistribution of the agenda.

(C) A legislative body of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state that has an internet website and an integrated agenda management platform shall not be required to comply with subparagraph (A) if all of the following are met:

(i) A direct link to the integrated agenda management platform shall be posted on the primary internet website home page of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state. The direct link to the integrated agenda management platform shall not be in a contextual menu. When a person clicks on the direct link to the integrated agenda management platform, the direct link shall take the person directly to an internet website with the agendas of the legislative body of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state.

(ii) The integrated agenda management platform may contain the prior agendas of a legislative body of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state for all meetings occurring on or after January 1, 2019.

(iii) The current agenda of the legislative body of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state shall be the first agenda available at the top of the integrated agenda management platform.

(iv) All agendas posted in the integrated agenda management platform shall comply with the requirements in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) of subparagraph (B).

(D) The provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to a political subdivision of a local agency that was established by the legislative body of the city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state.

(E) For purposes of this paragraph, both of the following definitions apply:

(1) "Integrated agenda management platform" means an internet website of a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state dedicated to providing the entirety of the agenda information for the legislative body of the city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or political subdivision established by the state to the public.

(2) "Legislative body" means a legislative body that meets the definition of subdivision (a) of Section 54952.

(3) No action or discussion shall be undertaken on any item not appearing on the posted agenda, except that members of a legislative body or its staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed by persons exercising their public testimony rights under Section 54954.3. In addition, on their own initiative or in response to questions posed by the public, a member of a legislative body or its staff may ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement, or make a brief report on their own activities. Furthermore, a member of a legislative body, or the body itself, subject to rules or procedures of the legislative body, may provide a reference to staff or other resources for factual information, request staff to report back to the body at a subsequent meeting concerning any matter, or take action to direct staff to place a matter of business on a future agenda.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the legislative body may take action on items of business not appearing on the posted agenda under any of the conditions stated below. Prior to discussing any item pursuant to this subdivision, the legislative body shall publicly identify the item.

(1) Upon a determination by a majority vote of the legislative body that an emergency situation exists, as defined in Section 54956.5.

(2) Upon a determination by a two-thirds vote of the members of the legislative body present at the meeting, or, if less than two-thirds of the members are present, a unanimous vote of those members present, that there is a need to take immediate action and that the need for action came to the attention of the local agency subsequent to the agenda being posted as specified in subdivision (a).

(3) The item was posted pursuant to subdivision (a) for a prior meeting of the legislative body occurring not more than five calendar days prior to the date action is taken on the item, and at the prior meeting the item was continued to the meeting at which action is being taken.

(c) This section is necessary to implement and reasonably within the scope of paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution.

(d) For purposes of subdivision (a), the requirement that the agenda be posted on the local agency's internet website, if the local agency has one, shall only apply to a legislative body that meets either of the following standards:

(1) A legislative body as that term is defined by subdivision (a) of Section 54952.

(2) A legislative body as that term is defined by subdivision (b) of Section 54952, if the members of the legislative body are compensated for their appearance, and if one or more of the members of the legislative body are also members of a legislative body as that term is defined by subdivision (a) of Section 54952.

SEC. 17. Section 54954.3 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54954.3. (a) (1) Every agenda for regular meetings shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the legislative body on any item of interest to the public, before or during the legislative body's consideration of the item, that is within the subject matter

jurisdiction of the legislative body, provided that no action shall be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda unless the action is otherwise authorized by subdivision (b) of Section 54954.2.

(2) (A) *Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the agenda need not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the legislative body on any item that has already been considered by a committee, composed exclusively of members of the legislative body, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the committee on the item, before or during the committee's consideration of the item.*

(B) *Subparagraph (A) shall not apply if any of the following conditions are met:*

(i) *The item has been substantially changed since the committee heard the item, as determined by the legislative body.*

(ii) *When considering the item, a quorum of the committee members did not participate from a singular physical location, that was clearly identified on the agenda, open to the public, and situated within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.*

(iii) *The committee has primary subject matter jurisdiction, as defined by the charter, an ordinance, a resolution, or any formal action of the legislative body that created the subsidiary body, that focuses on elections, privacy, budgets, taxes or related spending proposals, police oversight, or removing from, or restricting access to, materials available in public libraries. This clause shall not apply to an item if the local agency has adopted a law applicable to the meeting of the committee at which the item was considered prohibits the committee from placing a limit on the total amount of time for public comment on the item.*

~~(2)~~

(3) Every notice for a special meeting shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the legislative body concerning any item that has been described in the notice for the meeting before or during consideration of that item.

(b) (1) The legislative body of a local agency may adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the intent of subdivision (a) is carried out, including, but not limited to, regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated for public testimony on particular issues and for each individual speaker.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), when the legislative body of a local agency limits time for public comment, the legislative body of a local agency shall provide at least twice the allotted time to a member of the public who utilizes a translator to ensure that non-English speakers receive the same opportunity to directly address the legislative body of a local agency.

(3) Paragraph (2) shall not apply if the legislative body of a local agency utilizes simultaneous translation equipment in a manner that allows the legislative body of a local agency to hear the translated public testimony simultaneously.

(c) The legislative body of a local agency shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body. Nothing in this subdivision shall confer any privilege or protection for expression beyond that otherwise provided by law.

SEC. 18. Section 54956 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54956. (a) (1) A special meeting may be called at any time by the presiding officer of the legislative body of a local agency, or by a majority of the members of the legislative body, by delivering written notice to each member of the legislative body and to each local newspaper of general circulation and radio or television station requesting notice in writing and posting a notice on the local agency's internet website, if the local agency has one. The notice shall be delivered personally or by any other means and shall be received at least 24 hours before the time of the

meeting as specified in the notice. The call and notice shall specify the time and place of the special meeting and the business to be transacted or discussed. No other business shall be considered at these meetings by the legislative body. The written notice may be dispensed with as to any member who at or prior to the time the meeting convenes files with the clerk or secretary of the legislative body a written waiver of notice. The waiver may be given by telephone or electronic mail. The written notice may also be dispensed with as to any member who is actually present at the meeting at the time it convenes.

(2) The call and notice shall be posted at least 24 hours prior to the special meeting in a location that is freely accessible to members of the public.

(b) Notwithstanding any other law, a legislative body shall not call a special meeting regarding the salaries, salary schedules, or compensation paid in the form of fringe benefits, of the legislative body or of a local agency executive, as defined in subdivision (d) of Section 3511.1. However, this subdivision does not apply to a local agency calling a special meeting to discuss the local agency's budget.

SEC. 19. Section 54956.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54956.5. (a) For purposes of this section, "emergency situation" means both of the following:

(1) An emergency, which shall be defined as a work stoppage, crippling activity, or other activity that severely impairs public health, safety, or both, as determined by a majority of the members of the legislative body.

(2) A dire emergency, which shall be defined as a crippling disaster, mass destruction, terrorist act, or threatened terrorist activity that poses peril so immediate and significant that requiring a legislative body to provide one-hour notice before holding an emergency meeting under this section may endanger the public health, safety, or both, as determined by a majority of the members of the legislative body.

(b) (1) Subject to paragraph (2), in the case of an emergency situation involving matters upon which prompt action is necessary due to the disruption or threatened disruption of public facilities, a legislative body may hold an emergency meeting without complying with either the 24-hour notice requirement or the 24-hour posting requirement of Section 54956 or both of the notice and posting requirements.

(2) Each local newspaper of general circulation and radio or television station that has requested notice of special meetings pursuant to Section 54956 shall be notified by the presiding officer of the legislative body, or designee thereof, one hour prior to the emergency meeting, or, in the case of a dire emergency, at or near the time that the presiding officer or designee notifies the members of the legislative body of the emergency meeting.

(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the notice required by this paragraph shall be given by telephone and all telephone numbers provided in the most recent request of a newspaper or station for notification of special meetings shall be exhausted. In the event that telephone services are not functioning, the notice requirements of this paragraph shall be deemed waived, and the legislative body, or designee of the legislative body, shall notify those newspapers, radio stations, or television stations of the fact of the holding of the emergency meeting, the purpose of the meeting, and any action taken at the meeting as soon after the meeting as possible.

(B) For an emergency meeting held pursuant to this section, the presiding officer of the legislative body, or designee thereof, may send the notifications required by this paragraph by email instead of by telephone, as provided in subparagraph (A), to all local newspapers of general circulation, and radio or television stations, that have requested those notifications by email, and all email addresses provided by representatives of those newspapers or stations shall be exhausted.

In the event that internet services and telephone services are not functioning, the notice requirements of this paragraph shall be deemed waived, and the legislative body, or designee of the legislative body, shall notify those newspapers, radio stations, or television stations of the fact of the holding of the emergency meeting, the purpose of the meeting, and any action taken at the meeting as soon after the meeting as possible.

(c) During a meeting held pursuant to this section, the legislative body may meet in closed session pursuant to Section 54957 if agreed to by a two-thirds vote of the members of the legislative body present, or, if less than two-thirds of the members are present, by a unanimous vote of the members present.

(d) All special meeting requirements, as prescribed in Section 54956 shall be applicable to a meeting called pursuant to this section, with the exception of the 24-hour notice requirement.

(e) The minutes of a meeting called pursuant to this section, a list of persons who the presiding officer of the legislative body, or designee of the legislative body, notified or attempted to notify, a copy of the rollcall vote, and any actions taken at the meeting shall be posted for a minimum of 10 days in a public place as soon after the meeting as possible.

SEC. 20. Section 54957.6 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54957.6. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a legislative body of a local agency may hold closed sessions with the local agency's designated representatives regarding the salaries, salary schedules, or compensation paid in the form of fringe benefits of its represented and unrepresented employees, and, for represented employees, any other matter within the statutorily provided scope of representation, subject to all of the following conditions:

(1) Prior to the closed session, the legislative body of the local agency shall hold an open and public session in which it identifies its designated representatives.

(2) The closed session shall be for the purpose of reviewing its position and instructing the local agency's designated representatives.

(3) The closed session may take place prior to and during consultations and discussions with representatives of employee organizations and unrepresented employees.

(4) Any closed session with the local agency's designated representative regarding the salaries, salary schedules, or compensation paid in the form of fringe benefits may include discussion of an agency's available funds and funding priorities, but only insofar as these discussions relate to providing instructions to the local agency's designated representative.

(5) The closed session shall not include final action on the proposed compensation of one or more unrepresented employees.

(6) For the purposes enumerated in this section, a legislative body of a local agency may also meet with a state conciliator who has intervened in the proceedings.

(b) For the purposes of this section, the term "employee" shall include an officer or an independent contractor who functions as an officer or an employee, but shall not include any elected official, member of a legislative body, or other independent contractors.

SEC. 21. Section 54957.9 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54957.9. In the event that any meeting is willfully interrupted by a group or groups of persons so as to render the orderly conduct of the meeting unfeasible and order cannot be restored by the removal of individuals who are willfully interrupting the meeting, the members of the legislative body conducting the meeting may order the meeting room cleared and continue in session. Only matters appearing on the agenda may be considered in such a session. Representatives of the press or other news media, except those participating in the disturbance, shall be allowed to attend any session held pursuant to this section. Nothing in this section shall prohibit the legislative body

from establishing a procedure for readmitting an individual or individuals not responsible for willfully disturbing the orderly conduct of the meeting.

SEC. 22. Section 54957.95 of the Government Code is amended to read:

54957.95. (a) (1) In addition to authority exercised pursuant to Sections 54954.3 and 54957.9, the presiding member of the legislative body conducting a meeting or their designee may remove, or cause the removal of, an individual for disrupting the meeting, including any teleconferenced meeting.

(2) Prior to removing an individual, the presiding member or their designee shall warn the individual that their behavior is disrupting the meeting and that their failure to cease their behavior may result in their removal. The presiding member or their designee may then remove the individual if they do not promptly cease their disruptive behavior. This paragraph does not apply to any behavior described in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (b).

(b) As used in this section:

(1) "Disrupting" means engaging in behavior during a meeting of a legislative body that actually disrupts, disturbs, impedes, or renders infeasible the orderly conduct of the meeting and includes, but is not limited to, one of the following:

(A) A failure to comply with reasonable and lawful regulations adopted by a legislative body pursuant to Section 54954.3 or any other law.

(B) Engaging in behavior that constitutes use of force or a true threat of force.

(2) "True threat of force" means a threat that has sufficient indicia of intent and seriousness, that a reasonable observer would perceive it to be an actual threat to use force by the person making the threat.

SEC. 23. Section 54957.96 is added to the Government Code, to read:

54957.96. (a) The existing authority of a legislative body or its presiding officer to remove or limit participation by ~~individuals or groups of~~ persons who engage in behavior that actually disrupts, disturbs, impedes, or renders infeasible the orderly conduct of the meeting, including existing limitations upon that authority, shall apply to members of the public participating in a meeting via a two-way telephonic service or a two-way audiovisual platform.

(b) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) "Two-way audiovisual platform" means an online platform that provides participants with the ability to participate in a meeting via both an interactive video conference and a two-way telephonic service.

(2) "Two-way telephonic service" means a telephone service that does not require internet access and allows participants to dial a telephone number to listen and verbally participate.

SEC. 24. ~~Section 54960.2 of the Government Code is amended to read:~~

~~54960.2. (a) The district attorney or any interested person may file an action to determine the applicability of this chapter to past actions of the legislative body pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 54960 only if all of the following conditions are met:~~

~~(1) The district attorney or interested person alleging a violation of this chapter first submits a cease and desist letter by postal mail or facsimile transmission to the clerk or secretary of the legislative body being accused of the violation, as designated in the statement pertaining to that public agency on file pursuant to Section 53051, or if the agency does not have a statement on file designating a clerk or a secretary, to the chief executive officer of that agency, clearly describing the past action of the legislative body and nature of the alleged violation.~~

~~(2) The cease and desist letter required under paragraph (1) is submitted to the legislative body within 12 months of the alleged violation.~~

~~(3) The time during which the legislative body may respond to the cease and desist letter pursuant to subdivision (b) has expired and the legislative body has not provided an unconditional commitment pursuant to subdivision (c).~~

~~(4) Within 60 days of receipt of the legislative body's response to the cease and desist letter, other than an unconditional commitment pursuant to subdivision (c), or within 60 days of the expiration of the time during which the legislative body may respond to the cease and desist letter pursuant to subdivision (b), whichever is earlier, the party submitting the cease and desist letter shall commence the action pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 54960 or thereafter be barred from commencing the action.~~

~~(b) The legislative body may respond to a cease and desist letter submitted pursuant to subdivision (a) within 30 days of receiving the letter. This subdivision shall not be construed to prevent the legislative body from providing an unconditional commitment pursuant to subdivision (c) at any time after the 30-day period has expired, except that in that event the court shall award court costs and reasonable attorney's fees to the plaintiff in an action brought pursuant to this section, in accordance with Section 54960.5.~~

~~(c) (1) If the legislative body elects to respond to the cease and desist letter with an unconditional commitment to cease, desist from, and not repeat the past action that is alleged to violate this chapter, that response shall be in substantially the following form:~~

~~To _____;~~

~~The [name of legislative body] has received your cease and desist letter dated [date] alleging that the following described past action of the legislative body violates the Ralph M. Brown Act:~~

~~[Describe alleged past action, as set forth in the cease and desist letter submitted pursuant to subdivision (a)]~~

~~In order to avoid unnecessary litigation and without admitting any violation of the Ralph M. Brown Act, the [name of legislative body] hereby unconditionally commits that it will cease, desist from, and not repeat the challenged past action as described above.~~

~~The [name of legislative body] may rescind this commitment only by a majority vote of its membership taken in open session at a regular meeting and noticed on its posted agenda as "Rescission of Brown Act Commitment." You will be provided with written notice, sent by any means or media you provide in response to this message, to whatever address or addresses you specify, of any intention to consider rescinding this commitment at least 30 days before any such regular meeting. In the event that this commitment is rescinded, you will have the right to commence legal action pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 54960 of the Government Code. That notice will be delivered to you by the same means as this commitment, or may be mailed to an address that you have designated in writing.~~

~~Very truly yours,~~

~~_____
[Chairperson or acting chairperson of the legislative body]~~

~~(2) An unconditional commitment pursuant to this subdivision shall be approved by the legislative~~

body in open session at a regular or special meeting as a separate item of business, and not on its consent agenda.

~~(3) An action shall not be commenced to determine the applicability of this chapter to any past action of the legislative body for which the legislative body has provided an unconditional commitment pursuant to this subdivision. During any action seeking a judicial determination regarding the applicability of this chapter to any past action of the legislative body pursuant to subdivision (a), if the court determines that the legislative body has provided an unconditional commitment pursuant to this subdivision, the action shall be dismissed with prejudice. Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to modify or limit the existing ability of the district attorney or any interested person to commence an action to determine the applicability of this chapter to ongoing actions or threatened future actions of the legislative body.~~

~~(4) Except as provided in subdivision (d), the fact that a legislative body provides an unconditional commitment shall not be construed or admissible as evidence of a violation of this chapter.~~

~~(d) If the legislative body provides an unconditional commitment as set forth in subdivision (c), the legislative body shall not thereafter take or engage in the challenged action described in the cease and desist letter, except as provided in subdivision (e). Violation of this subdivision shall constitute an independent violation of this chapter, without regard to whether the challenged action would otherwise violate this chapter. An action alleging past violation or threatened future violation of this subdivision may be brought pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 54960, without regard to the procedural requirements of this section.~~

~~(e) The legislative body may resolve to rescind an unconditional commitment made pursuant to subdivision (c) by a majority vote of its membership taken in open session at a regular meeting as a separate item of business not on its consent agenda, and noticed on its posted agenda as "Rescission of Brown Act Commitment," provided that not less than 30 days prior to such regular meeting, the legislative body provides written notice of its intent to consider the rescission to each person to whom the unconditional commitment was made, and to the district attorney. Upon rescission, the district attorney or any interested person may commence an action pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 54960. An action under this subdivision may be brought pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 54960, without regard to the procedural requirements of this section.~~

~~SEC. 25.~~

SEC. 24. The Legislature finds and declares that Section 4 of this act, which amends Section 54953 of, Section 5 of this act, which adds Section 54953.4 to, Sections 8 to 15, inclusive, of this act, which add Sections 54953.8 to 54953.8.7, respectively, to, Section 19 of this act, which amends Section 54956.5 of, Section 22 of this act, which amends Section 54957.95 of, and Section 23 of this act, which adds Section 54957.96 to, the Government Code, impose a limitation on the public's right of access to the meetings of public bodies or the writings of public officials and agencies within the meaning of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution. Pursuant to that constitutional provision, the Legislature makes the following findings to demonstrate the interest protected by this limitation and the need for protecting that interest:

(a) This act is necessary to provide opportunities for public participation in meetings of specified public agencies and to promote the recruitment and retention of members of those agencies.

(b) This act is necessary to ensure minimum standards for public participation and notice requirements allowing for greater public participation in meetings.

(c) This act is necessary to modernize the Ralph M. Brown Act to reflect recent technological changes that can promote greater public access to local officials.

(d) The exclusively virtual nature of the California Online Community College presents unique barriers to the requirements for an in-person quorum, a physical location for public participation, and certain accommodations. Participating students of the online community college come from all across the state and necessitating travel for these requirements would pose a significant and exclusionary barrier.

~~SEC. 26.~~

SEC. 25. The Legislature finds and declares that Sections 1 and 2 of this act, which amend and repeal Section 54952.2, respectively, of, Section 3 of this act, which amends Section 54952.7 of, Section 4 of this act, which amends Section 54953 of, Section 5 of this act, which adds Section 54953.4 to, Section 6 of this act, which amends Section 54953.5 of, Section 7 of this act, which amends Section 54953.7 of, Sections 8 to 15, inclusive, of this act, which add Sections 54953.8 to 54953.8.7, respectively, to, Section 16 of this act, which amends Section 54954.2 of, Section 17 of this act, which amends Section 54954.3 of, Section 18 of this act, which amends Section 54956 of, Section 19 of this act, which amends Section 54956.5 of, Section 20 of this act, which amends Section 54957.6 of, Section 21 of this act, which amends Section 54957.9 of, Section 22 of this act, which amends Section 54957.95 of, *and* Section 23 of this act, which adds Section 54957.96 to, ~~and Section 24 of this act, which amends Section 54960.2 of~~ the Government Code, further, within the meaning of paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution, the purposes of that constitutional section as it relates to the right of public access to the meetings of local public bodies or the writings of local public officials and local agencies. Pursuant to paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution, the Legislature makes the following findings:

(a) This act is necessary to provide opportunities for public participation in meetings of specified public agencies and to promote the recruitment and retention of members of those agencies.

(b) This act is necessary to ensure minimum standards for public participation and notice requirements allowing for greater public participation in meetings.

(c) This act is necessary to modernize the Ralph M. Brown Act to reflect recent technological changes that can promote greater public access to local officials.

(d) The exclusively virtual nature of the California Online Community College presents unique barriers to the requirements for an in-person quorum, a physical location for public participation, and certain accommodations. Participating students of the online community college come from all across the state and necessitating travel for these requirements would pose a significant and exclusionary barrier.

~~SEC. 27.~~

SEC. 26. The Legislature finds and declares that adequate public access to meetings is a matter of statewide concern and is not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of Article XI of the California Constitution. Therefore, this bill would apply to all cities, including charter cities.

~~SEC. 28.~~

SEC. 27. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district under this act would result from a legislative mandate that is within the scope of paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution.



Memorandum

TO: SLDMWA Water Resources Committee Members and Alternates

FROM: Scott Petersen, Water Policy Director

DATE: September 8, 2025

RE: Update on Water Policy/Resources Activities

Background

This memorandum is provided to briefly summarize the current status of various agency processes regarding water policy activities, including but not limited to the (1) Implementation of Long-Term Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project, including environmental compliance; (2) State Water Resources Control Board action; (3) Central Valley Regional Water Board Action, (4) San Joaquin River Restoration Program; (5) Delta conveyance; (6) Reclamation action; (7) Delta Stewardship Council action; (8) San Joaquin Valley Water Blueprint, and (9) San Joaquin Valley Water Collaborative Action Plan.

Policy Items

Implementation of 2024 Record of Decision on Long-Term Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project

On December 20, Reclamation executed the Record of Decision and both the Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA Fisheries issued their Final Biological Opinions, beginning operations under the new operations regime.

On January 2024, President Trump issued Executive Order 14181, detailing analysis of potential changes to the operations in the 2024 ROD for consideration by the Administration. There is currently work underway to develop an implementation plan for the Executive Order and future action on project operations.

Adaptive Management Program

As part of implementation of the 2024 Record of Decision, state and federal agencies initiated and completed a structured decision-making process to assess alternatives to implement the Summer-Fall Habitat Action, including an analysis of summer and fall X2, for elevation to the agency directors to make a decision regarding summer-fall operations.



After completion of the analysis, the Directors elected to off-ramp Fall X2 operations for this water year and instead extended the operations of the Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates by 30 days.

Endangered Species Act Consultation Milestones

- TBD: Trinity Cooperating Agency Draft EIS/Draft Biological Assessment
- TBD: Trinity Public Draft EIS
- TBD: Trinity Biological Opinion, Final NEPA and ROD

Note: There are also Endangered Species Act consultations on the Trinity River and Klamath River that may have overlap/interactions with the operations of the CVP/SWP.

State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Activity

Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan Update

Background

The State Water Board is currently considering updates to its 2006 Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary ("Bay Delta Plan") in two phases (Plan amendments). The first Plan amendment is focused on San Joaquin River flows and southern Delta salinity ("Phase I" or "San Joaquin River Flows and Southern Delta Salinity Plan Amendment"). The second Plan amendment is focused on the Sacramento River and its tributaries, Delta eastside tributaries (including the Calaveras, Cosumnes, and Mokelumne rivers), Delta outflows, and interior Delta flows ("Phase II" or "Sacramento/Delta Plan Amendment").

During the December 12, 2018 Water Board Meeting, the Department of Water Resources ("DWR") and Department of Fish and Wildlife presented proposed "Voluntary Settlement Agreements" ("VSAs") on behalf of Reclamation, DWR, and the public water agencies they serve to resolve conflicts over proposed amendments to the Bay-Delta Plan update.¹ The State Water Board did not adopt the proposed VSAs in lieu of the proposed Phase 1 amendments, but as explained below, directed staff to consider the proposals as part of a future Delta-wide proposal.

Phase 1 Status – San Joaquin River and its Tributaries

The State Water Board adopted a resolution² to adopt amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary and adopt the Final Substitute Environmental Document during its December 12, 2018 public meeting.

Most recently, on July 18, 2022, the State Water Resources Control Board issued a Notice of Preparation (NOP)³ and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Scoping Meeting for the Proposed Regulation to Implement Lower San Joaquin River Flows (LSJR) and Southern Delta Salinity Objectives in the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Bay-Delta Plan).

The purpose of the NOP is: (1) to advise responsible and trustee agencies, Tribes, and interested organizations and persons, that the State Water Board or Board will be the lead agency and will prepare a

¹ Available at <https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Blogs/Voluntary-Settlement-Agreement-Meeting-Materials-Dec-12-2018-DWR-CDFW-CNRA.pdf>.

² Available at https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_decisions/adopted_orders/resolutions/2018/rs2018_0059.pdf.

³ Available at https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/notices/20220715-implementation-nop-and-scoping-dwr-baydelta.pdf



draft EIR for a proposed regulation implementing the LSJR flow and southern Delta salinity components of the 2018 Bay-Delta Plan, and (2) to seek input on significant environmental issues, reasonable alternatives, and mitigation measures that should be addressed in the EIR.

In response to the release of the NOP, the Water Authority and member agencies provided scoping comments⁴ and the State Water Board is working through a long-term process to address Phase 1 elements of the Water Quality Control Plan Update.

Phase 2 Status – Sacramento River and its Tributaries and Bay-Delta

In the State Water Board's resolution adopting the Phase 1 amendments, the Water Board directed staff to assist the Natural Resources Agency in completing a Delta watershed-wide agreement, including potential flow and non-flow measures for the Tuolumne River, and associated analyses no later than March 1, 2019. Staff were directed to incorporate the Delta watershed-wide agreement as an alternative for a future, comprehensive Bay-Delta Plan update that addresses the reasonable protection of beneficial uses across the Delta watershed.

Revised Draft Sacramento/Delta Updates to the Water Quality Control Plan

Background

The July 2025 revised draft Bay Delta Plan (2025 revised draft) includes proposed changes to the draft Bay Delta Plan released in October 2024 (2024 draft) based on public input and comments received throughout the planning process, including comments on several options for possible changes to the plan identified in the 2024 draft. Specifically, the 2024 draft identified the possible inclusion of flow, cold water habitat and related provisions that were based on the proposed Plan amendments and alternatives identified in the 2023 draft Staff Report in support of updates to the Bay Delta Plan, as well as options for these provisions. The 2024 draft also identified the possible inclusion of Voluntary Agreements (VAs) to provide flows and non-flow habitat proposed by state and federal agencies and water users referred to as the Healthy Rivers and Landscapes proposal, as well as options associated with inclusions of VAs. The regulatory provisions would apply to all water right holders if the Board did not move forward with VAs, or in the event the Board moved forward with VAs would apply to water rights not participating in approved VAs. The 2025 revised draft proposes to move forward with the inclusion of VAs in the Bay Delta Plan for water rights included in approved VAs (VA pathway) and the regulatory provisions for water rights not included as part of approved VAs (regulatory pathway). The 2025 revised draft also includes proposals for addressing other options identified in the 2024 draft. The 2025 revised draft also proposes the designation of Tribal Tradition and Culture (CUL) beneficial use as part of the current Bay Delta Plan update.

The State Water Board is seeking public input on the 2025 revised draft updates to the Bay Delta Plan. Comments on this revised draft will inform development of a final draft of the Plan for Board consideration in the future.

Current Activity

On July 24, 2025, State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board or Board) staff released for public review and comment a revised draft of updates to the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento San Joaquin Delta Watershed (Bay Delta Plan or Plan). The changes are focused on the portions of the plan relevant to the Sacramento River watershed, Delta eastside tributaries

⁴ Request from Authority staff



(including the Calaveras, Cosumnes, and Mokelumne Rivers), and Delta (Sacramento/Delta) for the reasonable protection of fish and wildlife beneficial uses.

State Water Board staff plan to release has released supplemental modeling results for the water supply adjustments under the regulatory pathway described in the revised draft Plan by August 22, 2025, to assist the public with their review. Modeling results for voluntary agreements and other scenarios are available in the 2023 draft Staff Report.

Additionally, the State Water Board has received term sheets for additional voluntary agreements from Nevada Irrigation District (NID) and South Sutter Water District (SSWD) specific to the Bear River, Yuba River, and Auburn Ravine that are available to the public.

Written comments on the revised draft updates are due to the Board on September 29, 2025 and the Board will be having two public hearings on September 24 and 25, 2025.

Schedule

LSJR Flow/SD Salinity Implementation Next Steps Assuming Regulation Path (Phase 1)

- Summer/Fall 2025
 - Final draft Staff Report for Tuolumne River VA
 - Board workshop and consideration of Tuolumne River VA
 - Final draft EIR and regulation implementing Lower SJR flows and South Delta Salinity
 - Board consideration of regulation implementing Lower SJR flows and South Delta Salinity

Sac/Delta Update: Key Milestones

- Summer/Fall 2025: Response to comments and development of proposed final changes to the Bay-Delta Plan
- Fall/Winter 2025: Board consideration of adoption

San Joaquin River Restoration Program

Restoration Flows

On August 29, The Restoration Administrator, an independent body stipulated by the Settlement, has revised the river flow schedule in response to Millerton Lake conditions. This schedule has now been approved by Reclamation.

Restoration Flows ceased in late June to conserve the limited volume of cold water behind Friant Dam, which impounds Millerton Lake. Since late June, the only Friant Dam releases to the San Joaquin River have been to meet holding contract requirements which set a minimum of 5 cfs passing Gravelly Ford (located 38 miles downstream of Friant Dam).

Reclamation regularly monitors water temperatures in Millerton Lake. The data indicate that resuming Restoration Flows in late September would be beneficial to salmon. Restoration Flows will recommence in accordance with the approved schedule below. The public is encouraged to check Friant Dam releases before recreating near the San Joaquin River as conditions and flow schedules may change.



Date	Friant Dam Releases	Flow Target at Gravelly Ford
September 1 – 24	240 – 260 cfs	5 cfs
September 25 – 30	Approximately 340 cfs	Increasing to 95 cfs
October 1 – 5	Approximately 490 cfs	Increasing to 235 cfs
October 6 – 31	450 – 500 cfs	235 cfs
November 1 – February 28, 2026	400 – 500 cfs	255 cfs

Last spring, Reclamation biologists found a [record-setting number of returning adult Spring-run Chinook salmon](#). These adult salmon will soon begin forming redds in the river channel (“redds” are a cleared depression in the gravel and cobbles where fish deposit eggs). Once salmon eggs are deposited and fertilized, they require cold water to develop. Restoration Flow releases from Friant Dam are scheduled to provide salmon the best chance of survival and reproduction.

Additionally, the Program provided its 2024 Annual Report⁵ to the Court.

Delta Conveyance Project

Petition for Change of Point of Diversion and Rediversion for the Delta Conveyance Project

The State Water Resources Control Board Administrative Hearings Office is holding a Public Hearing on the pending Petitions for Change of Water Right Permits 16478, 16479, 16481, and 16482 (Applications 5630, 14443, 14445A, and 17512, respectively) of the **Department of Water Resources**.

The evidentiary portion of the Public Hearing **will continue on May 1** (starting at 1:00 p.m.), **2, 5, 14, 15, 21-23, 27 & 28 and June 10 & 11, 2025**, and additional dates as necessary.

Policy statements will be **heard in person and by Zoom Webinar on May 19, 2025, starting at 9:00 a.m.**, at Joe Serna Jr. CalEPA Building, Byron Sher Hearing Room, 1001 I Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, California.

The portion of the hearing for presentation of Protestants’ cases-in-chief will begin on **August 12 and will continue on August 13, 14, 18 & 25, and September 2, 5, 9, 10, 11, 15, 29 & 30, and October 1, 6, 9 & 10, 2025**.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Reclamation Manual

Documents out for Comment

Draft Policy

- There are currently no draft Policies out for review.

⁵ Request from Authority Staff



Draft Directives and Standards

- There are currently no draft Directives and Standards out for review.

Draft Facilities Instructions, Standards, and Techniques (FIST)

- There are currently no draft Facilities Instructions, Standards, and Techniques out for review.

Draft Reclamation Safety and Health Standards (RSHS)

- There are currently no Safety and Health Standards out for review.

Draft Reclamation Design Standards

- There are currently no Design Standards out for review.

San Joaquin Valley Water Blueprint

The Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley (Blueprint) is a non-profit group of stakeholders, working to better understand our shared goals for water solutions that support environmental stewardship with the needs of communities and industries throughout the San Joaquin Valley.

Blueprint's strategic priorities for 2022-2025: Advocacy, Groundwater Quality and Disadvantaged Communities, Land Use Changes & Environmental Planning, Outreach & Communications, SGMA Implementation, Water Supply Goals, Governance, Operations & Finance.

Mission Statement: *"Unifying the San Joaquin Valley's voice to advance an accessible, reliable solution for a balanced water future for all."*

Committees

Executive/Budget/Personnel

Blueprint contribution requests have been circulated and Board members will be following up with participants.

Technical Committee

At the last Board meeting the Technical Committee provided a list of potential engagement by the Blueprint in facilitating groundwater recharge. The Board is reviewing these activities to determine what the Blueprint will engage in. The Board has requested that Stantec update the South Valley Water Resiliency Plan (Trans valley Pipeline) to act as a possible planning guide.

The following list was shared with the Board: 1) Streamlining Funding Distribution; 2) Engaging on Multi-Benefit Land Repurposing Regulations; 3) Providing Educational Resources for On-Farm Recharge; 4) Identify obstacles to recharging high flow water and developing possible solutions; 5) Advocate for expanded CVP place of use; 6) Monitoring and Documenting Groundwater Overdraft Impacts.

Activities

Executive Orders

The Blueprint has developed a set of recommendations to guide federal action under Executive Order 14181 and state action under Executive Order N-16-25, with a primary goal of increasing California's water supply by 9 million acre-feet per year by 2040. Letters of Support to President Trump and Governor Newsom were submitted to advocate for coordinated implementation.

President Trump's January 24, 2025, Executive Order 14181 and Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-16-25 on California Water mark a historic opportunity to restore reliability to the San Joaquin Valley's water supply. The directives instruct state and federal agencies to take immediate and comprehensive steps to



increase water deliveries, modernize regulations, and accelerate infrastructure investment. (See planning target in SB72 Caballero - Water Code SEC 5. Section 10004.6 (f))

Water Resiliency Projects

The Blueprint is working with Stantec to develop a water supply plan for the San Joaquin Valley that is consistent with the Blueprint's mission of maximizing accessible, affordable, and reliable supplies for sustainable and productive farms and ranches, healthy communities, and thriving ecosystems in the San Joaquin Valley.

With assistance from the Hallmark Group, the Blueprint developed a water supply strategy comprised of three phases: increasing use (primarily recharge) of surplus local water, modernizing Delta regulations (removing ineffective/inefficient Delta regulations), and improved Delta infrastructure (to increase exports without harm to listed species).

We have reviewed the documents DWR provided and there is significant overlap and consistency between DWRs plan and Blueprint goals. The Blueprint has also reviewed the Governor's Water Resiliency Portfolio and see alignment under that strategic framework between DWRs efforts (SWP Adaptation Plan, watershed studies and conveyance studies) and Blueprint efforts (increased capture and use of surplus water).

State Water Project Adaptation Plan - Continued maintenance and restoration of degraded conveyance capacity in California Aqueduct is a high priority because restoring degraded conveyance capacity in the California Aqueduct increases Table A deliveries compared to a future without these investments.

San Joaquin Basin Watershed Studies - Additional recharge of high flow water provides benefits for water supply, flood management, groundwater sustainability, subsidence correction, and ecosystems. The Blueprint is working with Stantec to develop a water supply plan for the San Joaquin Valley that is consistent with the Blueprint's mission of maximizing accessible, affordable, and reliable supplies for sustainable and productive farms and ranches, healthy communities, and thriving ecosystems in the San Joaquin Valley.

The Blueprint supports and encourages DWR Near Term strategies to increase groundwater recharge, especially implementation of GSP water supply projects, MLRP (especially where subsidence is problematic), and FIRO.

Groundwater Banking Program Investigation

The Blueprint and Metropolitan Water District have established a partnership to work towards several objectives, including improving groundwater sustainability in the San Joaquin Valley and improved water supply reliability for Southern California.

The Groundwater Banking Program Investigation will apply a phased approach to identify, evaluate, select, and implement groundwater banking projects in the San Joaquin Valley that have mutual benefits to Metropolitan and Blueprint members.

Phase 1 of the work includes (1) descriptions of potential areas of recharge, (2) an initial assessment of critical risks, (3) the development of a draft evaluation methodology and evaluation criteria, and will inform data needs for Phase 2 of the Investigation.

Unified Water Plan for the San Joaquin Valley

The Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley Education Fund and the California Water Institute - Research and Education Division are working together to develop a Unified Water Plan for the San Joaquin Valley. This two-year project will culminate in publishing a report to be submitted to Congress, with the following



Chapters: (1) Introduction, (2) Overview of the water resource needs and opportunities in the San Joaquin Valley, (3) Overview of flood risks and management in the San Joaquin Valley and opportunities for improving flood management, (4) Illustration of an environmental vision for the San Joaquin Valley and estimates of water supplies needed to implement that vision, (5) Evaluation of a range of potential solutions, and (6) Recommendations for a path forward and a roadmap for implementation, which will include policy recommendations.

Authority staff continues to recommend that Authority member agencies increase their engagement with the Blueprint Technical Committee to ensure accuracy and support of the work product being developed for the westside of the San Joaquin Valley.

San Joaquin Valley Water Collaborative Action Program (SJVW CAP)

Background

The CAP Plenary Group adopted work groups to implement the CAP Term Sheet⁶, adopted on November 22, 2022. During Phase II, Work Groups are continuing to meet and discuss priorities and drafting various documents for their respective areas: Safe Drinking Water; Sustainable Water Supplies; Ecosystem Health; Land Use, Demand Reduction and Land Repurposing; Implementation.

The Bureau of Reclamation funds the CAP. This funding supports its management and facilitation of the overall CAP process and the development of a prioritization tool. The tool is envisioned to be used by CAP participants, federal and state agencies, other stakeholders, and the public to evaluate policy recommendations, programmatic changes, and projects to achieve sustainable water management in the San Joaquin Valley.

The Steering Committee created a subgroup and will review several prioritization tools developed by other organizations and use those examples to craft a work plan and initial set of criteria for consideration.

On a parallel track, the subgroup recommends that each caucus develop up to three top-priority actions that will advance the outcomes of the Term Sheet.

⁶ Request from Authority staff



ATTACHMENTS

J. Scott Petersen

From: Water Blueprint <info@waterblueprintca.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 28, 2025 11:00 AM
To: J. Scott Petersen
Subject: Investment in San Joaquin Valley Water Infrastructure is Critical—Proposition 4 Funds Must Be Allocated!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[View this email in your browser](#)

Water Blueprint



for the San Joaquin Valley

Last November, voters overwhelmingly approved Proposition 4, reaffirming their commitment to secure a reliable water supply for our state's future. **Now, it is the Legislature's turn to act.** Before adjourning in September, lawmakers must allocate the first pot of funds so critical projects can begin breaking ground.

Governor Newsom's Proposition 4 Spending Plan recommends investing just over \$1 billion for water projects, which is the minimum amount that should be allocated to make a **pivotal step towards implementing needed projects to improve water supply reliability in the San Joaquin Valley.** The plan identified essential categories that require immediate funding:

- Regional Conveyance and repair projects
- Conservation

- Stream gauges
- Multibenefit land repurposing
- Groundwater management
- Streamflow enhancement
- Habitat enhancement and restoration
- Water quality improvement
- Flood control and stormwater management

For the San Joaquin Valley, where farms, communities, and ecosystems depend on reliable water, this action is urgent. **Every season of delay increases risks of crop loss, subsidence, drinking water insecurity, and ecosystem decline.**

By allocating the maximum amount of Proposition 4 funds that can be used this year before September adjournment, the Legislature will ensure shovel-ready projects can break ground, creating jobs, strengthening drought resilience, protecting habitat, and delivering clean, more reliable water for millions of Californians.

Voters have already spoken. Proposition 4 is a mandate to act. Lawmakers: move these vital funds before September to safeguard California's water future.



Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley
Advocacy Fund



Copyright © 2025 The Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley, All rights reserved.

You are receiving this email because you opted in to receive information from Water Blueprint.

Contact us!

Phone: (559) 437-1990

Mailing address:

The Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley
2907 S. Maple Ave
Fresno, California 93725

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).



J. Scott Petersen

From: Water Blueprint <info@waterblueprintca.com>
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2025 3:33 PM
To: J. Scott Petersen
Subject: SGMA Implementation and the Future of Valley Agriculture: Water Blueprint Board Chair Addresses State Board of Food and Ag

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[View this email in your browser](#)

Water Blueprint



for the San Joaquin Valley

2907 S. Maple Avenue
Fresno, CA 93725
(559) 437-1990

SGMA Implementation and the Future of Valley Agriculture: Water Blueprint Board Chair Addresses State Board of Food and Ag

On August 5th, Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley Board Chair Eddie Ocampo delivered a pivotal presentation to the California State Board of Food and Agriculture panel on “Agricultural Transitions and Cost – SGMA Implementation”. His presentation highlighted the far-reaching impacts of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA), particularly in the state’s agricultural heartland of the San Joaquin Valley.

Ocampo underscored that SGMA could result in the fallowing of up to one million acres of farmland across California. A vast majority of this would occur in the San Joaquin Valley, where the loss is projected to cost over \$1.1 billion in employee income, alongside the loss of approximately 42,000 agricultural jobs, underscoring the scale of the challenge facing the region's food system and rural communities.

Despite these sobering figures, Chair Ocampo maintained an optimistic tone. He pointed to encouraging developments such as the *Healthy Rivers and Landscapes Program*, which he described as a key opportunity to strike a balance between enhanced environmental outcomes and greater water supply certainty. Such initiatives, he noted, reflect meaningful progress and a path forward amid the difficult realities of SGMA implementation.

Calling for greater coordination, he urged state and federal agencies to align more closely in order to restore water supply reliability across California. He emphasized that the Water Blueprint is a “coalition of the willing”: a diverse alliance united around the common goal of ensuring that when water is available, it reaches the San Joaquin Valley.

Ultimately, Chair Ocampo reaffirmed that water access must be prioritized for the San Joaquin Valley, not only to support agriculture, but to preserve the economy and communities that depend on it. The Water Blueprint continues to advocate for solutions that sustain California’s agricultural future while supporting long-term environmental resilience.



Copyright © 2025 The Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley, All rights reserved.

You are receiving this email because you opted in to receive information from Water Blueprint.

Contact us!

Phone: (559) 437-1990

Mailing address:

The Water Blueprint for the San Joaquin Valley
2907 S. Maple Ave
Fresno, California 93725

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).





SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY WATER

Collaborative Action Program

August 22, 2025

The Honorable Mike McGuire
Senate President pro Tempore
California State Senate

The Honorable Robert Rivas
Speaker of the Assembly
California State Assembly

The Honorable Scott Wiener
Chair, Senate Budget Committee
California State Senate

The Honorable Jesse Gabriel
Chair, Assembly Budget Committee
California State Assembly

The Honorable Ben Allen
Chair, Senate Budget Subcommittee
California State Senate

The Honorable Steve Bennett
Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee 4
California State Assembly

RE: Prioritize Proposition 4 Funding and Disadvantaged Communities in Fiscal Year
2025-26

Dear pro Tem McGuire, Speaker Rivas, Senator Wiener, Assemblymember Gabriel,
Senator Allen, and Assemblymember Bennett:

The San Joaquin Valley Water Collaborative Action Program (CAP) is writing to express our strong support and need for immediate funding to address critical San Joaquin Valley water infrastructure, habitat, and safe drinking water needs. This investment will help address several State policy objectives, including implementation of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA); drought resiliency in a hotter, drier climate; the human right to water; maximizing water supplies and storage during wet year conditions; and protection and restoration of habitat. Proposition 4 (Prop 4) approved by voters in 2024 has significant funding to address these needs, and in many cases, there are projects ready to be implemented in the San Joaquin Valley.

The CAP is a coalition of over 80 leaders from agriculture, water agencies, safe drinking water advocates and technical assistance providers, environmental organizations, academia, and state and federal agencies. The San Joaquin Valley is ground zero for California's water management and climate resilience challenges, and is also where

innovations and partnerships exist which can provide solutions that can benefit the rest of the state.

We write to respectfully urge the Legislature to appropriate ***at least \$694.45M*** to the San Joaquin Valley, consistent with the Administration's proposal, in Prop 4 investments for fiscal year 2025-26 in Chapter 2 Safe Drinking Water, Drought, Flood, and Water Resilience, and ***at least \$135M*** in Chapter 6 Protect Biodiversity and Accelerating Nature-Based Climate Solutions. We also want to remind the Legislature that the voters understand that California is facing a climate emergency and approved \$10B for ***new projects***. We urge the Legislature to categorically reject any attempts to backfill existing programs with Prop 4 funds that are needed for shovel-ready projects now.

Structural underinvestment has been a fact of life in the San Joaquin Valley, which contains hundreds of small, disadvantaged communities. The San Joaquin Valley has a need for resources to supplement existing efforts and programs to provide for people and ecosystems, like the SAFER Program and San Joaquin River Restoration. Prop 4 directed spending to several specific geographies throughout the state but included very few line items specific to the San Joaquin Valley. Our ready-to-go projects are desperately needed for the San Joaquin Valley and provide benefits that reverberate throughout the State.

Consistent with the intent of Prop 4 Chapter 2 Safe Drinking Water, Drought, Flood, and Water Resilience, there are projects ready and funding requests in the following categories:

- Regional Water Conveyance – \$75M
- Multibenefit Land Repurposing – \$50M
- Safe Drinking Water for Disadvantaged Communities – \$183.2M
- Groundwater Storage and Recharge – \$386.25M

Consistent with the intent of Chapter 6 Protect Biodiversity and Accelerating Nature-Based Climate Solutions, there are projects ready and funding needs for over \$135M to implement floodplain restoration that restores critical habitat, replenishes groundwater, reduces flood risk, and provides amenities for local communities.

The CAP strongly recommends increasing the amount of funding eligible for technical assistance beyond the current 10% threshold and establishing more support frameworks where necessary. This will ensure more projects can benefit from Prop 4 and other funding necessary to meet the human right to water policy.

Further, the intent of the voters in Prop 4 is clear that ***at least 40 percent*** of funds must provide ***direct and meaningful benefits to disadvantaged communities***. However, not all programs within the bond can meet this bar. To that end, the Legislature should ensure that programs that can more easily provide benefits for DACs are expected to meet

higher percentages, in order to meet the 40 percent floor. Within the Water Chapter, we believe that the programs for drinking water and wastewater, groundwater storage, the Multibenefit Land Repurposing Program, flood control, dam safety, stormwater capture, watershed climate resilience, the state's conservancies, and the Salton Sea are prime examples for supporting DACs.

Additionally, the water conservation funding could provide benefits to DACs, which are some of the highest impact opportunities for water conservation, but programs for direct install of water-efficient appliances into low-income households must be created to ensure direct and meaningful benefits can be provided. The Legislature should work with stakeholders and DWR to create such programs over the interim.

While Prop 4 funding is critical this year, the Legislature must ensure a steady pipeline of projects continues to be developed, particularly for DACs, by reauthorizing the SAFER program. This includes funds for technical assistance and community capacity building that cannot be funded by bond dollars, but is absolutely critical to close the gap on drinking water access. We ask that the Legislature include SAFER reauthorization as part of a Cap and Trade deal this year. SAFER and Prop 4 together are needed to bring relief to the too many Californians with dry wells and toxic taps.

We urge the legislature to deploy a portion of Prop 4 funds this year to the challenges facing the San Joaquin Valley, which will only become more expensive, complex, and detrimental to people, the environment, farms, and businesses the longer we wait to act.

We look forward to the ribbon-cutting!

Sincerely,



Ann Hayden
Environmental Defense Fund



Sarah Woolf
Water Wise

Co-Chairs
San Joaquin Valley Water Collaborative Action Program

CC:

Kip Lipper, Chief Policy Advisor, Senate President pro Tempore's Office
James Barba, Policy Consultant, Senate President pro Tempore's Office
Elisa Wynne, Staff Director, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
Joanne Roy, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Subcommittee 2

Keith Cialino, Policy Consultant, Assembly Speaker's Office
Jason Sisney, Budget Advisor, Assembly Speaker's Office
Christian Griffiths, Chief Consultant, Assembly Committee on Budget
Christine Miyashiro, Consultant, Assembly Budget Subcommittee 4



SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY WATER

Collaborative Action Program

Plenary Group Meeting Summary

August 26, 2025 | 3:00 – 5:00 PM

Participation

On August 26, 2025, the Plenary Group had 43 members participate in the discussion, and all five caucuses were represented.

#1 Review Agenda & Updates

The group reviewed the agenda, and there were no additions.

#2 Bay-Delta Water Quality Plan Update – Sean Maguire, State Water Resources Board Member, and Erik Loboschefskey, Department of Water Resources

Erik Loboschefskey, Engineer at DWR, and Sean Maguire, board member at State Water Resources Control Board, were introduced to the group. Sean provided an update on the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan process. The State Water Board recently released an updated draft plan that proposes merging the HRL approach with a regulatory backstop. Sean highlighted that this would provide a more pragmatic and flexible approach compared to previous unimpaired flow proposals. The updated plan was released for public comment on July 23, 2025. Hearings are scheduled for late September, and it was noted that a potential trailer bill in the legislature could help expediate the plan's adoption.

Eric shared an overview of the Healthy Rivers & Landscapes (HRL) program. The program aims to integrate increased environmental flows from tributaries with habitat restoration projects to benefit native species. The program would dedicate over 8,000 acre-feet annually and would cost around \$2.9 Billion over 8 years. The funding would be split between 4 categories: Habitat construction, Science and governance, Prop 1 env. Water, and water purchase.

The source of water for the HRL program would come from purchases from water agencies, as well as export reductions by Central Valley Project contractors. It was noted that the intent is to minimize direct impacts to water users as much as possible.

#3 Implications of DWR's Subsidence BMPs – Stephanie Anagnoson, Madera County, and Aaron Fukuda, Tulare Irrigation District

Subsidence Best Management Practices (BMP) were released by DWR. Aaron Fukuda provided a high-level overview of the BMP document, highlighting its purpose to guide groundwater managers on managing and minimizing land subsidence. It was also noted that the BMP provides detailed technical information on monitoring subsidence, and it recommends closely monitoring groundwater levels and pumping to estimate critical head.

The BMP outlines actions like reducing groundwater pumping and implementing managed aquifer recharge, however there is concern that the BMP presents an idealized 'best case scenario' for addressing subsidence, without fully acknowledging the real-world social and economic challenges of its implementation. It was noted that there is a lack of discussion of differential subsidence, which is where the biggest impacts occur.

Overall, it was acknowledged that the BMP represents solid technical work, but the implementation will be difficult, and there is a need for continued collaboration between DWR, GSAs, and local stakeholders to find solutions that balance environmental needs with economic realities.

#4 CAP Priority Actions Update

Jim shared an update on the progress made on the CAP priority action items established at the June in-person meeting. CAP wants to work with PPIC to potentially do a report on regulatory impairments to flood safety. The report is being refined and will be brought to the full CAP group for consideration before moving forward with a discussion with PPIC.

CAP sent a letter to the legislature encouraging Prop 4 funding for the San Joaquin Valley. A subcommittee of CAP is developing recommendations on Prop 4 implementation to provide guidance to state agencies. In the September Plenary Group meeting, there will be staff from the Natural Resource Agency that will come talk about their thoughts on guidelines.

A letter of recommendations to improve the drinking water system consolidation process was developed and sent to the State Board as part of the comment period on the intended use program and their funding program. There was an extensive list of recommendations, and CAP is following up with the State Board and staff to see what their response to that will be.

A letter was sent supporting continued funding from the GGRF funds for SAFER implementation.

Earlier this year, a letter was sent to the Governor and State Legislature about expediting groundwater recharge and making the process more certain. A new letter will be coming that emphasizes the previous recommendations as well as encouraging improved coordination

across state agencies. This letter will be shared with the Plenary Group in the near future for consideration.

Almost half of the priority action items established in June have seen significant process thanks to the collaborative efforts of the CAP members. If there are additional questions on the status of priority actions, please contact Jim Kramer, jim@jkramer.co.

The next CAP Plenary Group meeting will be held on September 30th, followed by an in-person CAP meeting on October 7th at the El Capitan hotel in Merced.